MEDICAL TERMINOLOGY IN WEB-BASED PATIENT CORRESPONDENCE

Nasirdinova Yorkina Abdumukhtarovna

PhD, associate professor

Andijan branch of Kokand University

Faculty of Medicine Department of Natural and Medical Sciences

Abstract: As medical care frameworks progressively embrace online patient entries and electronic correspondence, there has been continuous conversation around the fitting utilization of clinical phrasing in correspondence coordinated toward patients. While exact phrasing is significant for clinical precision, a few terms might confound or stress patients without any relevant connection to anything or clarification. This article will look at the contemplations with respect to clinical phrasing in online patient correspondence and contend that a fair methodology is required.

Keywords: Terminology, patients, communication, web-based approach, clinical issues.

Introduction: Unseemly utilization of clinical terms in health-care proficient patient correspondence has been related with issues comparable to patient strengthening, patient independence, patients' personal straightforwardness, fulfillment and consistence. Of specific concern is experts' utilization of master language, as patients may not figure out it. Patients might utilize unexpected lexical things in comparison to health-care experts for a similar idea, likewise alluded to as patients, or on the other hand partner various amounts as well as sorts of data with expert terms. With regards to such worries, health-care experts are frequently encouraged to stay away from clinical phrasing while speaking with patients; all things considered, they ought to make an interpretation of clinical language into lay-friendly lexis.

The on-going importance of such broad proposals requires examination in the radiance of the way that developing quantities of patients are getting to wellbeing assets on the Web, acquiring experience with clinical terms in the process. Indeed, both a new joint effort and Armstrong et all's. concentrate on showed that patients share specialized and biomedical information on conclusion, overseeing sickness and therapy on the web - information that would should be intervened through phrasing. Quite a while back, Bowker and Herrera, as a feature of their etymological review, tracked down the utilization of clinical terms in a web-based patient gathering, and this is probably going to be more articulated at this point. Likewise, numerous patients really value that their health-care experts utilize clinical wording, depicting 'suitable and predictable clinical terms' as adding to positive health-care encounters.

In contrast to past examinations, like Antelmi and Jucks and Bromme that inspected the utilization of terms in specialist patient internet-based correspondence, this paper explores patients' utilization of clinical terms in what we have recently named patient internet-based correspondence, where correspondence isn't interceded by a health-care expert, and where patients speak with one another without master control or mediation. By zeroing in on normally happening patient correspondence, in addition to the fact that we tending to are a critical exact exploration hole, however we are likewise ready to stay away from a possible trap as health-care experts could present clinical terms that patients wouldn't use as a feature of their ordinary jargon.

This is a pertinent worry as patients are bound to utilize clinical terms while imparting on the web with specialists than with patients. Other than its exact desires, this paper planned to

advance hypothetical understandings of patients' developing wellbeing education, considering that it could be decidedly impacted by Web use. Understanding improvements in patients' wellbeing proficiency is significant, as high wellbeing education is related with more noteworthy patient certainty and more noteworthy patient support in wellbeing. The way that wellbeing proficiency is advanced through strong informal communities - which Edwards et al. depict as 'appropriated wellbeing proficiency' - is exceptionally pertinent for the web-based discussion setting, where patients gain from one another.

Wellbeing proficiency is a diverse and developing build, subsuming wellbeing education types, for example, practical, intelligent and basic. Hypotheses of useful wellbeing proficiency give the system to the current review. Utilitarian wellbeing proficiency connects with the capacity to comprehend and utilize wellbeing data; accordingly, its consolidates' comprehension patients might interpret clinical terms.

Such comprehension surmises some reasonable information on health-related points, with phrasing being the means by which this information is conveyed, as terms permit individuals 'not exclusively to impart and cooperate, yet additionally to move their insight with a pedantic reason to prepare new specialists, or just spread exceptional information as data to the overall population able to find out about a subject'. Here, Cabré underlines the potential for wording to expand investment and commitment; Ferguson correspondingly connects information on clinical phrasing with strengthening.

Mirroring the significance of clinical terms to wellbeing proficiency, the most famous trial of wellbeing education evaluates patients' capacity to oversee or figure out terms. Domain, for instance, centers around whether patients can articulate a term accurately, SAHLSA expects patients to see a boost term and pick which of two different words is generally comparable in significance, and the perusing subtest of TOFHLA requests that patients pick the right term from a scope of terms.

Senior et al. recognize shortcomings in these tests, distinguishing Domain as estimating commonality instead of understanding; they likewise propose that TOFHLA estimates proficiency factors that influence wellbeing results as opposed to wellbeing education fundamentally. The connection between unfortunate comprehension of clinical phrasing and low utilitarian wellbeing proficiency is additionally obvious in examinations that distinguish patients with chronic weakness education as attempting to figure out clinical terms. An absence of comprehension of clinical terms can make sense of how even profoundly proficient individuals can need useful wellbeing education.

Most frequently in the writing, wellbeing education is portrayed as low; shortfall models of patients are conjured, and experimental examinations concerning high wellbeing proficiency are uncommon. This paper is available to the likelihood that a few patients might have high wellbeing education, which might be exemplified by their utilization of clinical wording.

Wellbeing proficiency and e-patients:

A functioning suspicion of patients' absence of comprehension of phrasing, explicitly, and their common people, all the more for the most part, may have benefits, as expecting that patients understand clinical terms, when this isn't true, can risk correspondence and consequently wellbeing results, as well as be morally questionable. Nonetheless, certain gatherings of patients are progressively outfitting themselves with biomedical data by utilizing the Web, as the Web makes biomedical data accessible that used to be blocked off to people in general.

This has prompted worries about the advanced separation and innovation's job in worsening wellbeing disparities. In any case, with expanding quantities of patients involving the Web for wellbeing look, there is the feeling of a paradigmatic shift: in accordance with the pattern towards e-health, the e-patient is viewed as supplanting the patient of the biomedical model. Given the job they play in dealing with their own circumstances, it is contended that e-patients ought to be perceived as health-care experts' clinical partners; without a doubt, e-patients' biomedical information on their own condition can at times outperform that of health-care experts.

It ought to be underlined that the sort of information that patients have is in this manner not limited to their 'experiential information', yet can really incorporate biomedical information, like information on clinical terms. With the end goal of this paper, we characterize e-patients as benefiting of data advances to furnish themselves with applicable condition-related information and backing; consequently, every one of the patients who are associated with creating the strings in the informational collection of this paper are e-patients.

Conclusion

In online patient correspondence, the objective ought to guarantee patients approach precise wellbeing data while staying away from pointless disarray, tension, or disintegration of the patient-supplier relationship. A reasonable methodology nicely integrating clinical wording, however focusing on clearness and lucidness, best fills this double need. With thought for individual wellbeing proficiency needs, a conscious, distinct utilization of specialized language advances informed patients and positive wellbeing results.

References:

1. Edwards M, Wood F, Davies M, Edwards A. 'Distributed health literacy': longitudinal qualitative analysis of the roles of health literacy mediators and social networks of people living with a long-term health condition. Health Expectations, 2013. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1111/hex.12093.
2. Nutbeam D. Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. Health Promotion International, 2000; 15: 259–267.
3. Nutbeam D. Health promotion glossary1. Health Promotion International, 1998; 13: 349–364.
4. Van Servellen GM. Communication skills for the health care professional: concepts, practice, and evidence. London: Jones & Bartlett Publishers, 2009.
5. Cabré MT. Terminology and translation in: Gambier Y, Van Doorslaer L. (eds) Handbook of Translation Studies. 1. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company; 2010: 356–365.
6. Ferguson T. e-patients: how they can help us heal healthcare, 2007. White paper. Available at: http://e-patients.net/e-Patients_White_Paper.pdf, accessed 20 February 2015.
7. Davis TC, Long S, Jackson R. Rapid estimate of adult literacy in medicine: a shortened screening instrument. Family Medicine, 1993; 25: 391–395.