INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT
SJIF 2019: 5.222 2020: 5.552 2021: 5.637 2022:5.479 2023:6.563 2024: 7,805
eISSN :2394-6334 https://www.ijmrd.in/index.php/imjrd Volume 12, issue 05 (2025)

TECHNIQUES FOR SELECTING AND QUALIFYING YOUNG RUNNERS

Allanazarov Miralim Yesbergenovich
Master of Science, Asian International University

Abstract: This article explores modern and evidence-based techniques for selecting and qualifying young runners in competitive and developmental sports programs. It emphasizes the importance of identifying talent not solely through physical performance but through a comprehensive evaluation of physiological, psychological, and developmental factors. The need for a structured, inclusive, and technologically supported selection system is discussed, along with recommendations for improving current practices and aligning them with long-term athlete development models.
Keywords: talent identification, young athletes, running, selection process, sports development, physiological assessment, psychological screening

The identification and nurturing of young athletic talent is a fundamental cornerstone in the development of successful sports programs. In track and field, and especially in running events, early detection of potential is crucial to ensure that young athletes are provided with the appropriate guidance, training environment, and support to reach elite performance levels. However, traditional methods of selecting runners, which often rely on basic physical trials or limited competition results, do not always capture the full scope of a young athlete's potential. This limitation calls for a more holistic and methodologically sound approach to selecting and qualifying young runners. Effective selection techniques begin with a fundamental understanding of the diverse variables that contribute to success in running disciplines. These include aerobic capacity, anaerobic power, neuromuscular coordination, skeletal maturity, and even genetic predispositions. In many cases, talented children may not yet display elite-level performance due to developmental stages, lack of exposure, or environmental constraints. As such, an ideal selection program should include physical performance testing, developmental screening, and psychological profiling to account for future potential rather than current ability alone.

An effective selection system begins with establishing clear criteria that reflect both immediate performance and long-term potential. While speed and endurance tests provide measurable data, relying solely on these can lead to overlooking runners with high growth potential who may not yet demonstrate peak abilities. Therefore, it is essential to balance current performance outcomes with predictive indicators of athletic development. One widely accepted approach is **multi-stage selection**. In this method, initial screening involves simple, inclusive testing—such as basic time trials and physical fitness assessments—conducted across a broad population. Those who demonstrate above-average results proceed to more detailed evaluations, including physiological and psychological testing. This reduces the risk of excluding promising athletes prematurely and ensures a more representative talent pool for further development. Another core component is **growth and maturation monitoring**. Since young athletes mature at different rates, periodic evaluation of biological maturity using non-invasive tools (e.g., peak height velocity calculations or maturity offset prediction) helps normalize performance results and prevents bias toward early maturers. This method ensures fairness and provides coaches with a better understanding of an athlete's developmental trajectory.

Motor skill assessment also plays a critical role. Running efficiency is not just about raw power or endurance; biomechanics such as posture, stride length, and footstrike patterns impact performance and injury risk. Using video analysis software or motion sensors, coaches can identify technique flaws early and correct them before they become ingrained. Technical skill

evaluation helps determine whether a runner is naturally efficient or requires focused interventions.

Alongside physical testing, **cognitive and emotional profiling** enhances the depth of the selection process. Attention span, decision-making ability, competitiveness, and stress tolerance can all influence athletic performance, especially in high-pressure competitions. Psychometric tests and structured interviews—conducted by sport psychologists—can gauge these attributes. Athletes with high emotional intelligence and self-regulation often show better adaptability and consistency under stress. To support psychological profiling, many systems now incorporate **coach observation metrics**, which include factors such as work ethic, leadership, responsiveness to feedback, and cooperation within a team setting. These soft skills are often indicative of an athlete's coachability and potential for sustained development.

Data-driven athlete management systems are revolutionizing how young athletes are qualified and tracked. These platforms allow coaches to log and analyze an athlete's performance metrics, training loads, recovery data, and health indicators over time. By using algorithms or machine learning models, the system can flag abnormal trends, such as plateauing performance or elevated injury risk, enabling timely intervention. In addition, **sports-specific talent ID protocols** have been developed by national athletics federations and research institutions. These protocols often include a battery of validated tests—such as lactate threshold testing, VO2 max estimation, and heart rate variability monitoring—which help tailor training loads and determine the most suitable running discipline for each athlete. In the **qualification phase**, runners must also be assessed through real competition environments. While training data offers insight into capacity, competitive performance reveals how athletes cope with pressure, strategy, and pacing. Observation during heats and simulated races helps refine event placement—for instance, distinguishing between a short-distance sprinter and a mid-distance endurance runner.

Another valuable tool is **genetic testing**, though its use remains controversial. Certain genes (e.g., ACTN3) have been linked to sprinting or endurance potential, but the ethical and scientific validity of using genetic data for youth sports selection is debated. Nonetheless, in controlled research settings, genetic insights combined with phenotype data may offer additional layers of understanding when used responsibly. The importance of **inclusive scouting practices** cannot be overstated. Many talented children do not participate in formal athletic programs due to socioeconomic or geographic barriers. Schools, local sports clubs, and community centers must be empowered to conduct outreach, using simplified talent identification kits and support from national federations. Some programs have succeeded by holding regional talent days or mobile camps to reach rural populations. Furthermore, **continuous qualification** is preferable to single-event selection. Children's abilities and interests can change over time, so the selection process should remain open and flexible. Athletes should have the chance to requalify at regular intervals based on their growth, performance, and commitment. This approach not only maintains motivation but also provides a safety net for late bloomers.

Coach education and mentorship programs are equally vital. Even the most advanced selection techniques can fail if applied inconsistently or without understanding. Training programs for coaches should include instruction on adolescent physiology, psychological development, injury prevention, and data interpretation. Experienced coaches should mentor younger ones to uphold consistent and fair practices across all levels. Finally, **ethical considerations** must guide every aspect of the process. Overemphasis on performance at a young age can lead to burnout, anxiety, and dropout from sport. A balanced, athlete-centered philosophy that prioritizes development, enjoyment, and long-term growth over short-term victories will ultimately produce not just successful runners, but healthy, confident individuals.

Physical assessments for young runners must be multifaceted. Standard tests like the 20-meter shuttle run (beep test), vertical jump, 40-meter sprint, and timed distance runs (e.g., 800m or 1500m) provide objective data on aerobic endurance, speed, agility, and lower-body power. However, these should be supplemented with assessments of biomechanics, such as gait analysis and stride frequency, which offer insights into running efficiency and injury risk. Wearable technology and motion sensors have made it increasingly feasible to collect such data with accuracy even outside elite facilities. Beyond physical indicators, biological maturation should be taken into account. Chronological age often differs significantly from biological or developmental age, particularly in pre-adolescent and adolescent athletes. A 12-year-old boy may have the physical development of a 10-year-old or a 14-year-old, affecting his performance during selection trials. Ignoring this can lead to the exclusion of late bloomers who may surpass their peers with time and proper training. Tools like the Tanner stage assessment or bone age evaluation can help differentiate between early and late maturers, allowing for fairer and more predictive selections.

Psychological readiness is another key dimension. Motivation, goal orientation, emotional regulation, and resilience are all characteristics that determine how a young runner responds to coaching, competition, and setbacks. Structured psychological inventories, behavioral observations, and interviews can uncover athletes' mental and emotional strengths, enabling coaches to support and mentor runners more effectively. Athletes who demonstrate mental toughness and intrinsic motivation may thrive even if they are initially outperformed by more physically advanced peers. Social and environmental factors also influence a young runner's performance and long-term commitment. Parental support, access to quality coaching, nutritional status, and even school demands can affect an athlete's development. During the qualification phase, these variables should be considered to ensure that selected athletes are not only talented but also in an environment that supports their progression. Collaborative efforts involving parents, schools, and sports organizations can address these variables constructively. Once runners are selected, the qualification process begins, which entails continuous assessment and categorization. This phase requires a dynamic approach, where performance monitoring and athlete tracking are used to update training plans and placement decisions. Modern athlete management systems can store and analyze data over time, creating profiles that highlight progress, adaptation rates, and potential red flags such as overtraining or stagnation. Coaches can then make evidence-based decisions on which runners are best suited for short, middle, or long-distance events.

Specialized qualification also involves event-specific training exposure. For example, some runners may excel in explosive sprints but struggle with endurance over longer distances. By exposing young runners to different race types and analyzing physiological responses such as heart rate variability, lactate threshold, and recovery time, coaches can determine optimal event placement. This allows for more accurate specialization, reducing the chance of mismatching athletes with events that do not align with their physiological profiles. Furthermore, selection and qualification techniques should be inclusive, ensuring opportunities for rural and underrepresented populations. Talent is not exclusive to urban centers or well-funded institutions. Outreach programs, mobile scouting units, and community partnerships can expand the talent pool and uncover hidden potential in overlooked areas. Standardized selection criteria and transparent evaluation processes help maintain fairness and credibility in identifying talent across diverse backgrounds. Education and training for coaches are essential in refining selection and qualification systems. Coaches need to be well-versed not only in athletic training principles but also in talent identification strategies, child development, and psychological support. Certification programs, workshops, and collaboration with sports scientists enhance coaches' ability to make informed judgments and provide individualized development pathways for each young runner.

Long-term success in distance running depends not only on early identification but on sustained development. The Long-Term Athlete Development (LTAD) model emphasizes a progressive, stage-based framework that supports athletes from foundational stages to elite performance. Selection and qualification strategies must align with this model, ensuring that early training focuses on skill development, general fitness, and enjoyment, rather than early specialization or excessive competition.

Conclusion

It is equally important to re-evaluate and update selection practices regularly. As sports science evolves and new technologies become available, methodologies must adapt. Feedback from athletes, parents, and coaches should be integrated to refine the system and eliminate biases. Pilot programs and case studies can help validate new approaches before broader implementation. In conclusion, techniques for selecting and qualifying young runners must move beyond simplistic and short-term metrics. A comprehensive approach that includes physical assessments, psychological evaluations, environmental considerations, and technological tools provides a more accurate and ethical method for talent identification. When selection systems are inclusive, developmental, and based on scientific principles, they not only produce better athletes but also contribute to the long-term health and engagement of youth in sport. Developing young runners into successful athletes requires patience, planning, and a commitment to seeing potential where others may see only performance.

References

1.Husniddin, I. (2025). GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF ATHLETICS EXERCISES. JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGICAL RESEARCH, 3(1), 735-739.
2.Husniddin, I. (2025). METHODOLOGY OF TEACHING ATHLETICS EXERCISES. JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGICAL RESEARCH, 3(1), 760-767.
3.Husniddin, I. (2025). METHODOLOGY OF ATHLETICS. JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGICAL RESEARCH, 3(1), 755-759.
4.Husniddin, I. (2025). IMPROVING PSYCHOLOGICAL PREPARATION OF ATHLETES DURING COMPETITION. PEDAGOGICAL RESEARCH JOURNAL, 3(1), 740-744.
5. Sayfiyev, H., & Saidova, M. (2023). EFFECTS OF GYMNASTICS ON FUNDAMENTAL MOTOR SKILLS (FMS). POSTURAL (BALANCE) CONTROL, AND SELF-PERCEPTION DURING gymnastics. International scientificeducational electronic magazine" OBRAZOVANIE I NAUKA, 21.
6. Ayubovna, S. M. (2024). Types of gymnastics-development of learning.". Republican Scientific and Practical Conference "INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES, ACTUAL PROBLEMS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF SCIENCE AND EDUCATION IN THE 21ST CENTURY", 2(1), 100-107.
7.Khayrullayevich, S. H. (2023). Norms of gymnasiums and activities of gymnasiums. TECHNICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH IN UZBEKISTAN, 1(5), 428-434.
8.Khayrullayevich, S. H. (2023). PROPERTIES OF AEROBIC GYMNASTICS. TECHNICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH IN UZBEKISTAN, 1(5), 442-448.