INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT
SJIF 2019: 5.222 2020: 5.552 2021: 5.637 2022:5.479 2023:6.563 2024: 7,805
eISSN :2394-6334 https://www.ijmrd.in/index.php/imjrd Volume 12, issue 06 (2025)

"SISTER CARRIE" AND "ANNA KARENINA": A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF GENDER DYNAMICS, CHARACTER DISPOSITIONS, AND EMOTIONAL EXPERIENCES

Abdullayeva Nabiya Idrisovna, associate professor (PhD)
e-mail: nabiyaa1985@gmail.com
Isomova Umida Roziqovna, 2nd year master student of Asia International University e-mail: **umida774100874@gmail.com**

Abstract. This article provides a comparative study of "Sister Carrie" by Theodore Dreiser and "Anna Karenina" by Leo Tolstoy, focusing on the interplay of gender dynamics, character dispositions, and emotional experiences of their female protagonists. By examining Carrie Meeber in early twentieth-century America and Anna Karenina in late nineteenth-century Russia, the paper illuminates how patriarchal norms, social constraints, and personal aspirations intersect in shaping women's autonomy and identity. Drawing on feminist theory, comparative literature, and psychoanalytic perspectives, the analysis highlights key similarities and differences in the heroines' journeys toward fulfillment, revealing the broader social, cultural, and psychological factors that continue to resonate in contemporary discussions on gender roles and women's rights.
Key words: gender dynamics, female protagonists, patriarchy, social constraints, comparative literature, feminist theory, Sister Carrie, Anna Karenina.

INTRODUCTION

The study of female protagonists in Western literature has long been a central thread in literary criticism, particularly in the realms of feminist theory and gender studies. Examining how authors from different cultural, historical, and social contexts depict women provides valuable insights into the evolution of societal norms and gender expectations. Two prominent novels, Theodore Dreiser's "Sister Carrie" and Leo Tolstoy's "Anna Karenina", offer a fertile ground for such a comparative analysis. Both novels depict female protagonists who live in distinctly different time periods and cultural milieus: Carrie Meeber in early twentieth-century America and Anna Karenina in late nineteenth-century Russian aristocracy.

Despite these divergences in setting, the two novels share core thematic concerns relating to the role of women, gender norms, emotional struggles, and societal pressures. This comparative study explores how each protagonist's personality traits, aspirations, and inner turmoil reflect broader gendered expectations in their respective societies. At the turn of the twentieth century, industrial America was awash with the promise of material progress and modernity, while late imperial Russia was defined by its strict social hierarchies and moral codes. Yet both societies often constrained women within patriarchal structures.

Given the enduring debates about gender equality, women's roles, and the interplay between personal ambition and social constraint, these two novels remain remarkably relevant. Women around the world continue to navigate the tension between traditional gender norms and modern ideals of personal freedom, individual autonomy, and self-realization. Consequently, analyzing the characters Carrie Meeber and Anna Karenina through a gender lens not only illuminates historical perspectives but also resonates with contemporary readers.

METODOLOGY

The present study employs an integrated theoretical framework, drawing on gender studies (via Simone de Beauvoir's "The Second Sex" and Judith Butler's "Gender Trouble"), feminist literary criticism, comparative literature, and psychoanalytic and sociological perspectives. By viewing femininity as socially constructed rather than inherent, we explore how patriarchal systems shape

the protagonists' personal and interpersonal dynamics. Juxtaposing American naturalism and Russian realism highlights both convergences and divergences in gender discourse, while psychoanalytic and sociological insights illuminate internal conflicts and external pressures. An historical-literary approach further situates each novel within its specific socio-historical context: early twentieth-century industrial America and late nineteenth-century aristocratic Russia.

RESULTS

A key premise within modern gender theory is the notion that gender is, to a large extent, constructed socially. Simone de Beauvoir famously wrote that "One is not born, but rather becomes, a woman," pointing to the various mechanisms by which cultural norms and social institutions shape women's identities and roles. Judith Butler expanded upon this, arguing that gender is performative—repeated enactments of societal expectations produce the illusion of an "essential" gender identity.

In the context of literary analysis, these theoretical perspectives prompt us to investigate how authors reflect, reinforce, or challenge prevailing notions of femininity and masculinity. Patriarchy, in many nineteenth- and early twentieth-century societies, delineates strict boundaries for women's behavior, typically confining them to domestic or decorative roles (the "angel in the house" trope) and limiting their self-actualization. Literature often serves as a mirror to these realities, depicting characters who either submit to or rebel against gender norms.

Feminist literary criticism, as it developed especially in the latter half of the twentieth century, centralizes the reading of texts that foreground women's experiences—their voices, emotional lives, and struggles for autonomy. This approach involves:

– Identifying how female characters are positioned in relation to male characters and to social institutions like marriage and the family.

– Examining how the text construct's female identity: Is she autonomous or dependent? Does the narrative empower or objectify her?

– Exploring the intersection of gender with other social forces: class, race, economic conditions, and religious constraints.

In "Sister Carrie", the city emerges as a transformative, and at times exploitative, space for female ambition. In "Anna Karenina", an aristocratic environment imposes moral and communal constraints on female behavior. In both, we witness how patriarchal norms shape the women's emotional landscapes.

Written by Theodore Dreiser, "Sister Carrie" is often regarded as a naturalist novel, reflecting early twentieth-century American life. Industrialization, urban migration, and the rise of consumer culture define its social backdrop. The novel's protagonist, Carrie Meeber, arrives in Chicago with dreams of success, discovering both the harsh realities of industrial labor and the seductive possibilities of modern city life. Gender roles in this context frequently confine women to dependent positions, though new opportunities in commerce, entertainment, and urban modernity open the door to female social mobility.

Leo Tolstoy's "Anna Karenina" is a Russian realist novel set among the nobility of late imperial Russia. The highly stratified society demands decorum and strict moral observance, particularly for women of high status. Anna's aristocratic world is shaped by formal social gatherings, family alliances, and patriarchal structures that place women's virtue and marital fidelity on a pedestal. Her personal tragedy evolves from this collision between individual desire (her passionate love for Vronsky) and the public censure that ensues when she defies marital bonds.

By situating each text in its historical context, we better understand how the heroines' emotional arcs and character trajectories embody the shifting or static gender norms of their societies.

In the comparative approach, we highlight both similarities and differences between Carrie Meeber and Anna Karenina. While the novels are penned in distinct literary currents—American naturalism and Russian realism—the comparative framework allows us to:

– Determine each protagonist's motivations and inner conflicts;
– Interpret how different social frameworks—industrial America vs. aristocratic Russia—shape female identity and aspirations;
– Examine the interplay between emotional and economic factors in the formation of each woman's fate.

The feminist lens underscores the role of patriarchal structures in each protagonist's life. We concentrate on:

– The stereotypes or idealized roles assigned to women;
– The ways female characters challenge or succumb to societal moral codes;
– The effect of romantic relationships (Carrie's interactions with Druet and Hurstwood, Anna's relationships with Karenin and Vronsky) on the women's personal agency.

Finally, we adopt an historical-literary perspective, situating each novel within the social and cultural fabric of its time. In so doing, we can ascertain how economic transformations ("Sister Carrie") and nobility-based moral conventions ("Anna Karenina") yield distinctive constraints—and possibilities—for women, ultimately influencing the portrayal of Carrie and Anna.

Theodore Dreiser's "Sister Carrie", published in 1900, begins with the eponymous protagonist, Caroline Meeber, stepping off a train in Chicago. She is 18 years old, naive, and brimming with undirected ambition and romantic fantasies. The novel famously opens:

"When Caroline Meeber boarded the afternoon train for Chicago, her total outfit consisted of a small trunk, a cheap imitation alligator-skin satchel, a small lunch in a paper box, and some relative confidence in herself." ("Sister Carrie", Chapter 1)

This moment signals the novel's central tension: Carrie possesses only modest resources—materially and socially—yet she harbors a deep inner drive to experience the wealth, glamour, and comforts she believes big-city life can offer. Her personality is simultaneously timid and aspirational. Dreiser's naturalistic style emphasizes the environmental and social forces that influence Carrie's development; she becomes shaped by the city's promises and pitfalls.

Throughout the novel, we see Carrie's internal transitions—her longing for material success runs alongside her yearning for emotional fulfillment. Financial strain, urban anonymity, and the lure of theatrical success become the stepping stones in her psychological evolution. Initially, Carrie is depicted as an unformed character whose primary skill is her capacity for self-transformation: she learns to adapt her manners, dress, and comportment to new opportunities.

In Chicago, Carrie is rapidly confronted by the harsh realities of working-class life. She finds menial employment in a shoe factory, discovering its exploitative nature and meager wages. Here, the novel highlights gendered labor conditions; women in factories often faced low pay and grueling hours, dissuading them from the autonomy they might otherwise gain from independent income.

Charles Druet, a traveling salesman, emerges as an early means by which Carrie can transcend economic insecurity. He buys her clothes, takes her to restaurants, and offers her a vision of material comfort. In exchange, she becomes romantically involved with him, though not overtly coerced—it is a relationship implicitly shaped by the patriarchal assumption that a woman requires a male provider.

Later, George Hurstwood enters the scene—an older, married manager of a respectable saloon. He is captivated by Carrie's youthful charm and plastic ability to mold herself into a refined lady. Early in their relationship, Hurstwood sees Carrie as more than a naive girl; he senses she is aspirational:

"He discovered something shining in her—a light of understanding and ambition he had not seen in other women." ("Sister Carrie", IV chapter)

This "light" sets Carrie apart from the docile, domestic role that normative gender ideals might have demanded of her. She gradually becomes an actress, eventually performing on stage in Chicago and later in New York. Each step reveals how performance—both literally on stage and figuratively in social life—serves as a vehicle for her upward mobility.

Gender stereotypes of the time typically restricted women to the domestic sphere, casting them as wives, mothers, or dependent companions. Carrie, by contrast, moves independently in pursuit of her career, often criticizing or discarding relationships that hamper her growth. However, she must negotiate the "male gaze" and male financial patronage; her early success depends on the interest and resources of men like Druet and Hurstwood. Dreiser thus portrays Carrie's partial independence—she obtains a measure of agency but remains entwined in patriarchal networks of power.

As Carrie becomes more successful, her emotional life becomes increasingly complex. Even while she ascends the social ladder, she experiences loneliness and isolation. Dreiser's naturalistic perspective implies that success does not guarantee contentment; the relentless drive for wealth and status can leave an individual adrift. Toward the novel's conclusion, despite her achievements on the stage, Carrie sits in a rocking chair, pondering:

"In your rocking-chair, by your window, shall you dream such happiness as you may never feel?" ("Sister Carrie", final chapter)

This melancholic note suggests that Carrie's outward accomplishments fail to address her deeper emotional or existential needs. Her self-realization is incomplete, tinged by the limitations and illusions of consumerist urban life. She has, however, broken certain gender constraints—no longer the factory girl reliant on male charity. Her transformation reveals that the path to personal autonomy, for a woman in her time, could be gained only through constant adaptation and negotiation of patriarchal norms.

In summary, Carrie's personality—her character —is shaped by her ambition, emotional impressionability, and capacity for strategic adaptation. She is an emblem of a woman who, while constrained, nonetheless leverages the possibilities of a new era. Dreiser's novel underscores that the city and its theatrical or consumer culture can catalyze female agency, but that patriarchal values still cast a shadow over her search for emotional fulfillment.

Published in serial form between 1873 and 1877, Leo Tolstoy's "Anna Karenina" is a masterpiece of Russian realist fiction. At the heart of this novel is Anna Arkadyevna Karenina, portrayed as an aristocratic wife and mother. Right from the outset, the novel frames the notion of domestic and marital happiness: "All happy families are alike; each unhappy family is unhappy in its own way." ("Anna Karenina", Part I, Chapter 1)

Anna appears initially as a woman who is accomplished, beautiful, and gracious in society. Tolstoy imbues her with a sharp intelligence and a strong sense of emotional authenticity. However, beneath the veneer of social respectability lies dissatisfaction in her marriage to Alexei Alexandrovich Karenin, a high-ranking government official. Their relationship is polite and outwardly correct, but lacking in genuine passion or deep emotional rapport.

Anna is thus a figure of interior conflict: she longs for a form of love and self-realization that transcends the rigid, formal rituals of her aristocratic milieu. When she meets the charismatic young cavalry officer, Count Alexei Vronsky, she awakens to a passion she had never experienced in her constrained marital life.

Nineteenth-century Russian high society demanded strict adherence to moral and social codes, especially for women of Anna's stature. A noblewoman was expected to be a virtuous wife, a dedicated mother, and a proper hostess within her social circle. Infidelity or even the appearance

of impropriety could lead to public scandal, social ostracism, or worse. Anna's choice to pursue a romantic liaison with Vronsky runs squarely against these codes.

Tolstoy illustrates how a double standard operates in this world: men, even married men, are given latitude for dalliances, while a woman like Anna is severely censured for her passionate transgression. As Anna's affair with Vronsky intensifies, her husband Karenin initially tries to handle the situation in a bureaucratic, emotionless manner, valuing social propriety over emotional truth. Ultimately, however, he is deeply wounded by her betrayal, and he wrestles with whether to divorce or punish her.

Socially, Anna becomes a pariah. Even her once-close friends avoid her, and she cannot appear openly at formal gatherings. In a chilling scene, Anna visits the opera in St. Petersburg and finds herself met with cold hostility and whispered condemnation: "She was in that highest society in which she had lived, really lived, only in the early days of her marriage with Karenin, and now she realized clearly that her place was lost." ("Anna Karenina", V chapter)

Here, Tolstoy lays bare the vulnerability of aristocratic women: if they lose their social standing, they effectively lose the identity upon which they have built their entire lives. Anna's situation deteriorates, culminating in her decision to leave her husband and attempt a life with Vronsky, which leads to ongoing emotional strife and isolation.

A hallmark of "Anna Karenina" is Tolstoy's remarkable psychological nuance. He presents Anna as neither wholly victimized nor simplistically immoral; instead, she is a fully realized woman who makes radical choices in pursuit of love and authenticity, yet is tormented by the moral and social fallout. The novel is strewn with inner monologues that reveal the progression of her despair, jealousy, and anxiety about her precarious status.

"She saw in everything now only what she wanted to see... and the poetic feeling grew in her to the pitch of passion." ("Anna Karenina", paraphrased from a mid-novel passage)

Tolstoy's overarching message is ambivalent. On one hand, he criticizes the stifling hypocrisy of aristocratic society, which enforces rigid moral codes primarily on women. On the other hand, Anna's trajectory—ending in her tragic suicide—can be read as Tolstoy's commentary on the personal destruction that arises when one flouts conventional morality. In psychoanalytic terms, Anna's predicament is marked by the tension between social conscience (a superego shaped by her class) and her id-driven longing for Vronsky's love. She remains unable to reconcile these forces and sees no alternative but self-annihilation.

Hence, Anna's character combines passionate intensity, moral courage (in daring to follow her heart), and psychological fragility. She lives in a world that denies her a route to self-realization outside the narrow confines of polite society. In modern feminist terms, her downfall exemplifies the systemic injustice faced by women who deviate from established "feminine" roles. Despite her emotional strength, Anna remains bound by norms that spell doom the moment she asserts her independence in romantic and marital matters.

Both Carrie Meeber and Anna Karenina exist in patriarchal societies, albeit historically and culturally distinct. Each woman grapples with an internal drive for some form of self-actualization—Carrie with her pursuit of urban success and Anna with her quest for genuine love. In this sense, they share a restless quality, a dissatisfaction with mere conformity.

Carrie wants more than the factory job or the role of a dependent mistress; Anna wants more than a hollow aristocratic marriage. In both novels, the protagonists stand out from other women by virtue of their ambition or passion. While Carrie's ambition leads her to become an actress, secure monetary independence, and eventually end in a state of lonely reflection, Anna's pursuit of love ends in social ruin and suicide. This contrast reveals the differences in each society's structure and the greater "space" for a single woman like Carrie to maneuver in a metropolis, compared to the suffocating environment Anna inhabits.

In "Sister Carrie", the external environment of a rapidly modernizing American city fosters social mobility—a phenomenon complicated by patriarchal norms but not entirely negated by them. In "Anna Karenina", an aristocratic environment is unyielding, offering no middle ground for a woman who leaves her husband. Anna's tragedy is that her society, even with all its wealth, is morally rigid.

Carrie and Anna engage with gender stereotypes in divergent ways:

– Carrie tactically employs certain stereotypes—attractiveness, charm, even a somewhat naive persona—to secure men's attention and financial assistance. As she advances in the theatrical world, she becomes a public figure, which subverts the typical private domesticity ascribed to women. She thereby transcends stereotypes to some degree, but remains entangled in a system that objectifies her.

– Anna constrained to play the role of the devoted wife and mother in an aristocratic setting, Anna cannot find a socially permissible way to express her desire for a genuine romantic relationship with Vronsky. Her decision to defy these conventions triggers condemnation. Instead of reshaping the stereotype, she is broken by the system that enforces it.

Thus, Anna wages a more direct rebellion with far more destructive consequences. Carrie, in contrast, finds loopholes in an urban capitalist environment that allows her to climb the social ladder, albeit with emotional costs.

Male characters in both novels serve as crucial pivot points in the women's emotional and social journeys:

– Carrie – Druet, Hurstwood: Druet acts as a stepping stone; a reflection of Carrie's early reliance on male support. He provides her with financial stability and a window into a more glamorous lifestyle. Hurstwood, older and more sophisticated, encourages Carrie's interest in the theater. However, once he succumbs to financial disaster and personal decline, Carrie recognizes she can outgrow him. Her relative autonomy grows as she moves away from these men.

– Anna – Karenin, Vronsky: Karenin represents social order and moral duty, yet lacks the emotional warmth that Anna craves. Their marriage is formal, rational, and emotionally lifeless. Vronsky embodies passion and excitement. Anna invests her entire being in this relationship, ultimately losing her marriage, her son, and her social standing. Unlike Carrie, Anna's final separation from her husband plunges her into irreparable isolation.

From a gender standpoint, Dreiser's American urban landscape allows Carrie incremental steps toward professional success, whereas Tolstoy's aristocratic Russia offers Anna no bridging compromise. Once she has severed her ties with Karenin, she is precariously reliant on Vronsky's love and the approval of a society that cannot forgive her. The tragedy of Anna Karenina lies not simply in her personal choices but in a system that leaves her with no path to redemption.

CONCLUSION

This comparative analysis of "Sister Carrie" and "Anna Karenina" underscores the complexities of female desire, social constraint, and gender norms. Both Carrie Meeber and Anna Karenina strive to transcend the boundaries set by patriarchy—though their goals differ in substance:

– Carrie seeks economic and social mobility, harnessing her talent and adaptability to overcome an impoverished background. She partially succeeds, yet is haunted by loneliness and the intangible nature of her achievements.

– Anna pursues emotional and romantic fulfillment, challenging a strict moral order that demands her unwavering loyalty to her husband and family. She experiences fierce passion but is punished severely by her community, leading to her tragic end.

In both novels, we witness the female protagonists navigating inequitable social structures that limit women's independence. Each must forge a path that collides with conventional definitions of proper womanhood. Carrie's more ambiguous, open-ended fate stands in contrast to Anna's

catastrophic downfall, revealing how industrial America (for all its exploitation) might allow slightly more flexibility for female ambition than aristocratic Russia.

From a feminist literary perspective, these works illustrate the multifaceted burdens women face under patriarchal norms:

– By examining the intersections of gender, class, and urban or aristocratic environments, we gain insight into how external constraints affect female agency. Carrie's story reflects the nascent possibilities of the modern era, while Anna's reflects the stringent moral codes of a rapidly modernizing yet culturally conservative society.

– Studying these novels side by side enriches comparative literature by highlighting how authors from different traditions engage with gender discourse. Dreiser's naturalistic style and Tolstoy's psychological realism both reveal the tensions between individual desire and social constraints.

– Today, women worldwide still grapple with balancing personal fulfillment against family and societal expectations. Although conditions have changed significantly, the resonance of these novels endures, reminding us that the quest for autonomy and recognition can exact high emotional costs.

In academic terms, such a comparative approach paves the way for further explorations of feminist theory in historical contexts, offering frameworks to interrogate modern gender norms. Reading Carrie and Anna across cultural and temporal distances illuminates ongoing debates about women's economic independence, family roles, and social judgment.

REFERENCES

1. Dreiser, T. Sister Carrie / Pennsylvania: Public domain text available via Project Gutenberg, 1900. – 557 p.
2. Tolstoy, L. Anna Karenina / translated by C. Garnett. – Public domain text, 1877. – 864 p.
3. Beauvoir, S. de. The Second Sex / Paris: Gallimard, 1949. – 948 p.
4. Butler, J. Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity / New York: Routledge, 1990. – 172 p.
5. Froula, C. Modernism's Body: Sex, Culture, and Joyce / New York: Columbia University Press, 1996. – 328 p.
6. Showalter, E. A Literature of Their Own: British Women Novelists from Brontë to Lessing / Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1977. – 378 p.
7. Gilbert, S. M. The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination / New Haven: Yale University Press, 1979. – 719 p.