# INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

SJIF 2019: 5.222 2020: 5.552 2021: 5.637 2022:5.479 2023:6.563 2024: 7,805

elSSN:2394-6334 https://www.ijmrd.in/index.php/imjrd Volume 12, issue 06 (2025)

# INTEGRATING CRITICAL THINKING SKILLS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE SPEAKING ACTIVITIES

#### Shukurova Shokhsanam Kakhramon kizi

Uzbekistan state world languages university

Annotation: This article explores the integration of critical thinking skills into English language speaking activities. As communication becomes increasingly complex in the modern world, language educators face the challenge of developing learners' ability to think critically while expressing themselves clearly and effectively. The paper highlights practical strategies for incorporating critical thinking into classroom speaking tasks, including debates, problem-solving discussions, role-plays, and project-based activities. Additionally, it analyzes how critical thinking enhances learners' fluency, coherence, argumentation, and confidence in spoken English. The study concludes that fostering critical thinking in speaking activities not only improves linguistic competence but also prepares learners for real-world communication.

**Keywords:** Critical thinking, speaking skills, English language teaching, communicative competence, classroom activities, problem-solving, argumentation, fluency development.

#### INTRODUCTION

In today's fast-paced and information-rich world, English language learners are not only expected to develop communicative competence but also the ability to think critically. Speaking, one of the key language skills, involves more than just verbal fluency; it requires learners to analyze, evaluate, and construct arguments, justify opinions, and make decisions while interacting with others. Therefore, integrating critical thinking skills into speaking activities is essential to prepare learners for academic, professional, and real-life communication contexts. This article examines how English teachers can effectively embed critical thinking tasks into speaking lessons and the impact of such integration on learners' overall language development.

Critical thinking is often defined as the ability to think clearly and rationally, understanding the logical connection between ideas. According to Bloom's Taxonomy, higher-order thinking skills such as analyzing, evaluating, and creating are crucial for intellectual engagement. Paul and Elder (2006) emphasize that critical thinking includes the ability to gather and assess relevant information, recognize assumptions and biases, and make well-reasoned conclusions.

In the context of language learning, critical thinking enhances learners' ability to engage in meaningful communication, make logical arguments, and respond thoughtfully to others. Vygotsky's sociocultural theory also supports the idea that learners develop higher cognitive functions through social interaction, making speaking tasks an ideal setting for practicing critical thinking collaboratively.

#### **Practical Strategies for Classroom Integration**

#### **Debates and Discussions**

Organizing structured debates on controversial or thought-provoking topics allows students to prepare arguments, anticipate counterarguments, and defend their viewpoints. Topics such as

# INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

SJIF 2019: 5.222 2020: 5.552 2021: 5.637 2022:5.479 2023:6.563 2024: 7,805 eISSN :2394-6334 https://www.ijmrd.in/index.php/imjrd Volume 12, issue 06 (2025)

"Should school uniforms be mandatory?" or "Is artificial intelligence a threat to jobs?" provide authentic contexts for reasoning and persuasion.

### **Problem-Solving Tasks**

Engaging learners in group tasks that involve solving hypothetical or real-life problems promotes teamwork and encourages them to evaluate possible solutions. For example, in an upper-intermediate classroom, students might be asked to propose a sustainable travel plan for a school trip. They must justify choices, budget, and environmental impact.

#### **Role-Plays and Simulations**

Role-playing real-world scenarios (e.g., job interviews, business meetings, crisis responses) requires learners to think on their feet, adapt their language, and make quick decisions—fostering both spontaneity and critical evaluation of options. Teachers can scaffold this activity with cue cards or role descriptions.

## **Project-Based Speaking**

Involving students in long-term projects such as presentations, research sharing, or TED-style talks demands deep engagement with content. Learners must synthesize information, organize ideas logically, and speak clearly with an audience in mind. Group work allows for peer review, encouraging collaborative critical thinking.

#### **Reflection and Self-Evaluation**

Encouraging students to reflect on their own speaking performance, give peer feedback, and identify strengths and areas for improvement helps develop metacognitive awareness and responsibility for learning. Teachers can use rubrics or learner logs for structured reflection.

#### **Questioning Techniques**

Teachers should employ open-ended and higher-order questions to challenge students' reasoning. Questions like "Why do you think this solution is better than the others?" or "Can you explain your reasoning behind that decision?" promote analytical and evaluative thinking.

Critical thinking tasks must be adapted according to learners' proficiency levels. For beginner or lower-intermediate students, tasks should use simpler vocabulary and clear prompts (e.g., picture-based problem-solving or guided role-plays). As learners progress, they can handle more abstract tasks and debates that demand nuanced arguments and critical evaluation. Integrating critical thinking into speaking activities leads to improved language fluency, stronger argumentative skills, increased learner motivation, and better classroom interaction. It also develops global competencies such as problem-solving, collaboration, and decision-making. However, teachers may face challenges such as limited class time, learners' lack of confidence, or resistance to unfamiliar tasks. Continuous teacher support and the creation of a non-threatening environment are crucial to overcoming these barriers.

#### Conclusion

Incorporating critical thinking into English speaking activities transforms language learning into a more dynamic, meaningful, and intellectually engaging process. It equips learners with essential life skills, enhances their ability to participate in complex discussions, and prepares them for real-world challenges. By using debates, problem-solving, role-plays, and reflective

# INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

SJIF 2019: 5.222 2020: 5.552 2021: 5.637 2022:5.479 2023:6.563 2024: 7,805 eISSN:2394-6334 https://www.ijmrd.in/index.php/imjrd Volume 12, issue 06 (2025)

activities, educators can empower students to become articulate, thoughtful, and effective communicators in English. Future teaching methodologies should place a stronger emphasis on developing both linguistic and cognitive skills hand in hand, with tailored approaches for learners at different proficiency levels.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Brookfield, S. D. (2012). Teaching for Critical Thinking: Tools and Techniques to Help Students Question Their Assumptions. Jossey-Bass.
- 2. Facione, P. A. (2015). Critical Thinking: What It Is and Why It Counts (7th ed.). Insight Assessment.
- 3. Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2006). Critical Thinking: Tools for Taking Charge of Your Learning and Your Life (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.
- 4. Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- 5. Scrivener, J. (2011). Learning Teaching: The Essential Guide to English Language Teaching (3rd ed.). Macmillan Education.
- 6. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press.
- 7. Zohar, A., & Dori, Y. J. (2003). Higher order thinking skills and low-achieving students: Are they mutually exclusive? The Journal of the Learning Sciences, 12(2), 145–181. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327809JLS1202\_1