INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

SJIF 2019: 5.222 2020: 5.552 2021: 5.637 2022:5.479 2023:6.563 2024: 7,805 eISSN:2394-6334 https://www.ijmrd.in/index.php/imjrd Volume 12, issue 07 (2025)

LEXICAL AND SEMANTIC COMPARISON OF UZBEK AND TURKISH LANGUAGES

Jumamuratova Ruxshona

Student of Nukus State Pedagogical Institute

Abstract: This article analyzes the similarities and differences between the Uzbek and Turkish languages in terms of their lexical and semantic features. A comparative study is conducted on aspects such as the origin of words, polysemy, semantic narrowing or broadening, national vocabulary, and modern terminology.

Keywords: Lexical-semantic comparison, Turkish language, Uzbek language, common Turkic words, semantic range, polysemy, realia, semantic differences.

ЛЕКСИКО-СЕМАНТИЧЕСКОЕ СРАВНЕНИЕ УЗБЕКСКОГО И ТУРЕЦКОГО ЯЗЫКОВ

Аннотация: В данной статье анализируются сходства и различия узбекского и турецкого языков с точки зрения их лексических и семантических особенностей. Проводится сравнительное исследование по таким аспектам, как происхождение слов, полисемия, семантическое сужение или расширение, национальная лексика и современная терминология.

Ключевые слова: Лексико-семантическое сравнение, турецкий язык, узбекский язык, общетюркские слова, семантический диапазон, полисемия, реалии, семантические различия.

As two prominent representatives of the Turkic language family, Uzbek and Turkish have a close historical root connection, although they have followed independent paths of development in the modern era. Both languages have evolved from common Turkic foundations, and while many lexical-semantic similarities exist between them, significant differences are also present. This article explores a comparative analysis of Uzbek and Turkish in terms of vocabulary (lexis) and meaning (semantics). In linguistics, such comparisons between the two languages not only reveal historical roots but also facilitate modern communication processes.

First of all, both languages have preserved a set of common Turkic words. For example, Uzbek words such as oy (moon), quloq (ear), koʻz (eye), yurak (heart), ot (horse), qoʻl (hand), and qor (snow) also appear in Turkish as ay, kulak, göz, yürek, at, el, kar. These words are semantically equivalent in both languages, reflecting a shared lexical layer rooted in the genetic connection of the languages. However, such similarities are mostly observed in the basic and ancient vocabulary. This is because, since the early 20th century, modern Turkish underwent a language purification process, actively replacing Arabic and French borrowings with native equivalents under a "pure Turkification" policy. On the other hand, Uzbek has retained a large number of Arabic and Persian words.

For instance, Uzbek words like ilm (science), sabr (patience), adolat (justice), kitob (book), maktab (school), though Arabic in origin, have Turkish counterparts which are often either Turkified versions or substituted with native terms: bilim (ilm), kitap (kitob), okul (maktab), adalet (adolat). Especially in modern Turkish, new compound words like bilgisayar (computer) are commonly used, while in Uzbek, this word is used in its original borrowed form (kompyuter). This contrast shows that Turkish prioritizes forming new words from internal

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

SJIF 2019: 5.222 2020: 5.552 2021: 5.637 2022:5.479 2023:6.563 2024: 7,805 eISSN :2394-6334 https://www.ijmrd.in/index.php/imjrd Volume 12, issue 07 (2025)

resources, while Uzbek more readily accepts foreign (especially English) terms in their original forms.

There are also semantic differences between the two languages. For example, the Uzbek verb chiqmoq (to go out) is polysemous: it can mean to exit a house, to result in something, to appear, or to emerge as a problem. In Turkish, these meanings are expressed through different verbs: çıkmak (to go out), ortaya çıkmak (to appear), sonuçlanmak (to result). This polysemy in Uzbek demands syntactic and contextual adaptability, whereas Turkish tends to favor semantic clarity through distinct verbs.

Moreover, both languages have similar metaphorical and idiomatic systems, though some idioms differ semantically. For example, the Uzbek idiom koʻngli choʻkdi (became sad or disheartened) is expressed in Turkish as morali bozuldu. The Uzbek word koʻngil carries various semantic nuances such as soul, heart, intention, or emotion, while in Turkish, these are expressed through several distinct words: gönül, kalp, ruh, duygu. This again highlights Uzbek's polysemous nature and the importance of context in semantic interpretation.

Another area where lexical-semantic differences manifest is in the vocabulary related to modern technology. In Turkish, for instance, kapatmak is used for "turning off" a phone (literally, "to cover"), while Uzbek uses oʻchirmoq (to extinguish/turn off). Also, internete girmek (to enter the internet) in Turkish directly corresponds to the Uzbek phrase internetga kirish, although their syntactic construction can differ significantly.

In conclusion, lexical and semantic similarities between Uzbek and Turkish reflect their shared historical roots, while the differences arise from each language's independent development, cultural and economic environment, external influences, and language policies. Such analyses are beneficial for translation practice, language teaching methodology, the development of joint linguistic corpora, and academic research.

References:

- 1. Yoʻldoshev Q. Zamonaviy oʻzbek tili leksikologiyasi. Tashkent: Fan, 2020.
- 2. Abduazizov A. Til millat koʻzgusi. Tashkent: Fan va texnologiya, 2014.
- 3. Gülensoy T. Türk Dili El Kitabı. Ankara: Akçağ Yayınları, 2000.
- 4. Topaloğlu T. Türkçede Anlam Gelişmeleri ve Leksikoloji. İstanbul: Multilingual, 2015.
- 5. Qodirov A. Qiyosiy tilshunoslik asoslari. Tashkent: Fan, 2012.