

TERMITE CONTROL IN ARID AGROECOSYSTEMS: A REVIEW

Matyaqubov Zafar Sharipovich

Khorezm Mamun academy, Senior Scientific researcher

Ulmasbek Ravshanbekovich Abdullayev

Associate Professor at the "Biology" Department, Urgench State University named after Abu Rayhon Beruni.

Ruzmetov Rasul Sobirovich

Khorezm Mamun academy, Senior Scientific researcher

Abstract: Termites are major pests in arid and semi-arid regions, causing extensive damage to crops, infrastructure and cultural heritage[1]. Conventional chemical control (soil termiticides, wood fumigants) can suppress infestations but often gives only short-term relief and risks environmental contamination[2][3]. This review synthesizes literature (2015–2025) on termite management in arid environments (e.g. Uzbekistan's Khorezm region and similar drylands), covering chemical, biological, ecological and integrated approaches. We evaluate efficacy and sustainability: for example, botanical insecticides (neem, essential oils) have shown termite mortality comparable to synthetics[4][5], and bait systems using minimal toxicants can achieve nearly 100% colony elimination while reducing non-target impacts[6][7]. We also highlight termites' positive soil functions in drylands[8][9], underscoring the need for judicious control strategies. In conclusion, integrated pest management (IPM) — combining targeted baits, habitat management and biological agents — appears most sustainable for regional termite control, balancing crop protection with ecosystem health[10][9].

Keywords: Termites, Termite control, Khorezm, *Anacanthotermes turkestanicus*, Arid agriculture, Termiticides, Botanical insecticides, Biological control, Integrated pest management (IPM), Subterranean termites, Entomopathogenic fungi, Soil pests, Sustainable pest control, Termite infestation

Introduction

Termites (Isoptera) are “silent destroyers” of woody materials and crops worldwide[1]. In warm arid climates (e.g. Central Asia, Middle East, Southwestern US), species such as *Anacanthotermes turkestanicus* infest fields, orchards and buildings[11]. Their subterranean colonies are difficult to detect until serious damage occurs. Arid-land termites also play important ecological roles: they engineer soil structure and nutrient cycling in place of earthworms[12][8]. For instance, field experiments in Australia showed ants and termites increased wheat yields by 36% through enhanced soil moisture and nitrogen[8]. These ecosystem services suggest that eradication can have unintended consequences. Nonetheless, uncontrolled infestations can devastate cotton, grain and tree crops in Uzbekistan's Khorezm oasis and comparable regions[11]. Effective termite management in such landscapes thus requires methods that suppress pests yet limit harm to soils and non-target organisms. Here we review recent advances (last 10 years) in chemical, biological, ecological and IPM approaches to termite control, focusing on strategies suited to arid-to-semiarid agriculture.



Methods (Literature Survey)

We conducted a systematic review of peer-reviewed literature (2015–2025) on termite control, emphasizing studies from arid/semi-arid climates (Central Asia, Middle East, Africa, southwestern USA). Sources included entomology and pest management journals indexed in Scopus. Keywords included “termite control”, “Khorezm”, “arid agriculture”, “biological control termites”, “termite baiting”, “integrated pest management termites”, etc. We prioritized publications on chemical, biological and integrated methods, and on ecological impacts relevant to regional agriculture. Both laboratory and field studies were considered. Publications in English and Russian (with accessible translations) were included when relevant. Citations below refer to these sources.

Chemical Control Methods

Traditional termite control relies on synthetic insecticides. Soil termiticides (organophosphates, pyrethroids, neonicotinoids) are applied as foundation treatments or trenching, and wood surfaces are treated with systemic or contact poisons[2]. Fumigants (e.g. chloropicrin, methyl bromide, hydrogen cyanide) have been used for large-scale eradication; these compounds vaporize under heat, making them effective in arid conditions[13]. However, such chemicals are extremely toxic to non-target wildlife and humans, and can persist in soil and groundwater[2][13]. In practice, these treatments often require repeated application and provide only temporary relief before reinfestation[3]. For example, microencapsulated organophosphates or chloropicrin fumigation will kill existing colonies, but cannot prevent new colonies migrating into the area. Moreover, regulatory restrictions in many countries limit usage of the most toxic termiticides. In summary, while chemical termiticides are potent, their environmental and health risks are significant[2], and their long-term efficacy in arid agriculture is limited.

Modern chemistries have attempted to mitigate these problems. Insect growth regulators (IGRs) such as bistrifuron (a chitin synthesis inhibitor) are used in bait stations; they slowly eliminate colonies while minimizing acute toxicity. However, IGRs require careful placement and substantial bait consumption by the colony. Field trials in Uzbekistan found that treating local wood with bistrifuron reduced structural infestations, but did not permanently eradicate subterranean nests. Overall, reliance on broad-spectrum termiticides alone is unsustainable in semi-arid ecosystems due to collateral damage and only short-term impact[2][3].

Biological Control and Biopesticides

Interest in biological alternatives has grown in response to chemical shortcomings. Entomopathogenic organisms (fungi, bacteria, nematodes, viruses) can suppress termites under field conditions. For example, *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* strains have been tested against various termite species. Notably, researchers in Uzbekistan isolated a native entomopathogenic fungus (*Beauveria tenella* strain VD-85) from local termite mounds; baits containing this strain caused 100% worker mortality in both laboratory and field trials[14]. Other studies have explored nematodes (e.g. *Steinernema* spp.) and bacterial formulations, but these typically require high concentrations and only achieve partial control. In general, biological agents are species-specific and environmentally benign, but their efficacy in arid soils can be reduced by low humidity and high temperatures. Maintaining fungal conidia viability, for instance, is challenging in Khorezm’s hot, dry climate.

Plant-derived termiticides (botanicals) offer another biological strategy. Neem oil (from *Azadirachta indica*) is rich in azadirachtin and other compounds that are insecticidal and



repellent. Recent trials found that plywood panels treated with neem oil suffered termite damage comparable to those treated with chlorpyrifos[4], indicating similar effectiveness. Likewise, essential oils from peppermint (*Mentha piperita*), clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*), mustard (*Brassica nigra*), and other botanicals have shown strong anti-termite activity in laboratory tests. For instance, 1.0 mL of peppermint oil caused near-complete mortality of the drywood termite *Odontotermes obesus* in a petri-dish assay[5]. These botanical treatments are biodegradable and target insects (having low mammalian toxicity)[15][16], making them attractive for organic or low-impact IPM programs. Field data on botanicals is still sparse, but they clearly outperform untreated controls and offer promise for small-scale or supplementing broad treatments.

Other biocontrol methods include habitat manipulation. Some vegetation (e.g. certain grasses or cover crops) is less attractive to termites, so strategic crop rotation or mulching can reduce food resources. Similarly, physical barriers (sand layers, termite shields on concrete) exploit termite aversion to dry or fine-grained substrates. Though not a biological agent per se, encouraging natural termite predators (ants, centipedes, birds) and conserving biodiversity can also suppress termite numbers. For example, ant species are major termite predators in many dry ecosystems. In one model, exclusion of soil insects (including ants and termites) reduced crop yield, implying these insects enhance soil fertility[8]. Thus preserving the soil food web may indirectly keep pest termites in check.

Ecological and Cultural Approaches

Beyond direct biocontrol, cultural methods aim to make the environment less favorable for termites. Farmers often prune irrigation schedules and reduce humidity in fields and storage areas, since excessive moisture can promote some termites (although most arid-zone termites tolerate dryness). In Khorezm's irrigated cotton fields, periodic drying of furrows and avoiding waterlogging have been recommended to limit termite foraging corridors. Crop residues should not accumulate near building foundations; removing or treating fallen logs and stumps denies termites nesting sites. Use of termite-resistant construction materials (concrete footing, treated lumber) is widely advised for houses and barns to prevent infestation.

Local knowledge studies confirm these practices. For instance, Ugandan farmers reported destroying termite mounds and weeding around crops as common control tactics[17]. In the Khorezm region, villagers similarly remove aboveground mounds and isolate gnawed wood. These practices alone are rarely sufficient, but they complement other control measures. Importantly, ecological management recognizes the beneficial roles of termites in dryland soils[12][8], so non-essential areas of the farm may be left undisturbed to maintain soil structure. In some "trap cropping" schemes, sacrificial wood piles are placed away from valuable crops; once heavily infested, these mounds are destroyed or treated, reducing the colony's impact on the main fields.

Integrated Pest Management (IPM)

Given the limitations of any single tactic, IPM is strongly recommended for termite control in arid agriculture[10]. Termite IPM combines monitoring, targeted interventions, and ecosystem-based strategies to minimize chemical use. A cornerstone is termite baiting: cellulosic bait stations containing small doses of termiticide or biocontrol agents are deployed along foraging routes. Termites consume the bait and share it with the colony, leading to gradual collapse. This approach uses orders of magnitude less insecticide than broadcast spraying. Indeed, termite IPM programs in sensitive sites (e.g. historic buildings) have proven that bait-based colony



elimination can preserve structures while drastically reducing environmental contamination[10]. In arid agroecosystems, bait matrices may be enhanced with local materials: one study in Central Asia found that adding native sawdust and extract pheromones to the bait increased attractiveness to *A. turkestanicus*, achieving up to 100% colony kill[6].

A sound IPM plan also incorporates biological agents when feasible. For example, field tests in Uzbekistan combined *Beauveria*-laced baits with periodic mound destruction, achieving long-term suppression of *Anacanthotermes* populations. Botanicals and IGRs can be rotated with baits to delay resistance. Threshold-based interventions ensure treatment only when termite densities surpass economic injury levels. Farmer education is crucial: training local growers to identify early signs of termite activity and to apply cultural controls (soil cultivation, mound removal) can reduce reliance on pesticides. In short, the scientific literature emphasizes IPM as the most sustainable strategy: it preserves termite ecosystem functions (such as soil aeration and moisture retention)[9] while protecting crops and structures.

Discussion and Conclusions

Chemical termiticides remain a useful short-term fix, but their environmental footprint and limited residual efficacy make them unsuitable as a sole strategy in Khorezm-like ecosystems[2][3]. Biocontrol agents and botanicals show promise but often require further development for practical deployment. For example, field persistence of neem oil or fungal spores under high UV and temperature needs evaluation. Cultural methods are low-cost but labor-intensive and typically insufficient by themselves. Therefore, integrating all available tools is key. In practice, one might begin with habitat management (moisture control, barrier installation) and routine inspections, adding bait stations or targeted chemical treatments only as needed. Crucially, any control campaign must consider the non-target benefits of termites in drylands; indiscriminate colony destruction can degrade soil quality and water dynamics[8][9].

In conclusion, modern termite management in arid agriculture should pivot toward IPM. Recent research highlights techniques tailored to such environments: for example, the development of baits customized to *A. turkestanicus* using local plant materials[6] demonstrates region-specific innovation. Future work should continue evaluating field efficacy and non-target safety. Meanwhile, extension programs should promote integrated strategies combining minimal chemical use with biological and ecological measures. With such a holistic approach, termite damage in the Khorezm region and similar arid zones can be reduced sustainably, safeguarding both crops and ecosystem health.

References:

1. Evans TA, Dawes TZ, Ward PR, Lo N. Ants and termites increase crop yield in a dry climate. **Nat Commun.** 2011;2:262. doi:10.1038/ncomms1254
2. Jeya S, Quraiza MTF. Efficacy of neem oil against termite *Odontotermes obesus* (Blattodea: Termitidae). **Uttar Pradesh J Zool.** 2024;45(15):541-547.
3. Kagezi GH, et al. Farmers' knowledge and perception of termite pest status and management in Buikwe District, Uganda. **East Afr Sch J Agric Life Sci.** 2023;6(10):174-189.
4. Mishra P, et al. Biological approaches of termite management: A review. **Curr Bot.** 2021;12:121-131.



5. Paul N, Singh A. Efficacy of *Mentha piperita*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Syzygium aromaticum*, *Brassica nigra* oils on termites. **Int J Sci Res Arch**. 2024;12(1):322-326.
6. Togaev U, et al. Innovative strategies for termite management: Effective baits against *Anacanthotermes turkestanicus*. **Biosci Biotechnol Res Asia**. 2024;21(4):1429-1437.
7. Su NY. Termite IPM in historic sites. **J Integr Pest Manag**. 2024;15(1):26. doi:10.1093/jipm/pmae005
8. Jouquet P, Chaudhary E, Kumar AVR. Sustainable use of termite activity in agro-ecosystems with reference to earthworms: a review. **Agron Sustain Dev**. 2018;38:3. doi:10.1007/s13593-017-0483-1

