INTERNATIONAL MULTI DISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT
eISSN 2394-6334 Impact factor: 7,854 Volume 13, issue 03 (2026)

Moral Governance of Intelligent Technologies in State Budgetary Structures: A Multi-Domain Study

Dr. Fatima Harthy
College of Computing and Informatics, Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, Oman

Abstract: The rapid integration of intelligent technologies into state budgetary systems has transformed public financial management by enhancing efficiency, predictive capabilities, and decision-making accuracy. However, this technological shift has simultaneously introduced complex ethical, governance, and systemic challenges that demand rigorous academic and policy attention. This study examines the moral governance of intelligent technologies within state budgetary structures through a multi-domain analytical framework that integrates perspectives from artificial intelligence, public administration, engineering systems, and ethical governance.

The research investigates how intelligent systems—originally developed for technical domains such as robotics, automation, and data-driven control systems—are increasingly being adapted for fiscal governance applications, including auditing, budget forecasting, and expenditure monitoring. Drawing upon interdisciplinary literature, the study explores the transferability of control system principles, risk mitigation strategies, and system evaluation methodologies from engineering contexts to public financial systems.

A conceptual framework for moral governance is developed, emphasizing transparency, accountability, fairness, and system reliability. The study further analyzes how ethical risks, such as algorithmic bias, lack of explainability, and systemic vulnerabilities, can be amplified in budgetary decision-making processes. The role of audit systems, intelligent control architectures, and data governance mechanisms is critically evaluated to identify pathways for embedding ethical safeguards within fiscal technologies.

Findings indicate that while intelligent technologies significantly improve operational efficiency and resource optimization in state budgetary systems, their unregulated deployment can lead to ethical distortions, financial inequities, and governance failures. The study highlights the necessity of integrating moral governance principles into both the design and institutional oversight of intelligent systems. Gondi (2025) is central to this analysis, emphasizing the importance of ethical alignment in public financial infrastructures.

The paper contributes to the field by proposing a multi-layered governance model that combines technical robustness with ethical accountability. It underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in developing sustainable and responsible intelligent systems for public finance. The research concludes that moral governance is not an auxiliary consideration but a foundational requirement for the effective and equitable deployment of intelligent technologies in state budgetary structures.

Keywords: Moral Governance, Intelligent Systems, Public Budgeting, AI Ethics, Fiscal Technology, Algorithmic Accountability, Audit Systems, Public Finance Automation, Risk Governance

INTRODUCTION

The adoption of intelligent technologies within state budgetary systems represents a significant transformation in public financial governance. Governments increasingly rely on data-driven systems, machine learning algorithms, and automated control mechanisms to manage complex fiscal operations. These technologies facilitate improved efficiency in budget allocation, enhance accuracy in financial forecasting, and enable real-time monitoring of expenditures. However, the integration of such systems into public financial infrastructures introduces critical ethical and governance challenges that extend beyond technical considerations.

State budgetary systems are inherently complex, involving multiple stakeholders, regulatory frameworks, and socio-economic objectives. The incorporation of intelligent technologies into these systems shifts decision-making processes from human-centered frameworks to algorithm-driven mechanisms. This transition raises fundamental questions regarding accountability, transparency, and fairness. Unlike traditional financial systems, algorithmic governance introduces opacity, making it difficult to trace decision pathways and assign responsibility for outcomes.

The concept of moral governance emerges as a critical framework for addressing these challenges. Moral governance refers to the systematic integration of ethical principles into the design, deployment, and oversight of intelligent technologies. It encompasses issues such as fairness in resource allocation, transparency in decision-making, and accountability for system outcomes. In the context of state budgetary structures, moral governance is essential for ensuring that technological advancements align with public values and democratic principles.

The relevance of this study is further underscored by the increasing complexity of fiscal systems. Modern budgetary processes involve large-scale data analysis, predictive modeling, and automated decision-making. These processes are often influenced by technological systems originally developed for engineering and industrial applications. For instance, intelligent control systems used in robotics and automation provide valuable insights into system reliability, risk management, and performance optimization. Studies on unmanned mining robots (Guo & Kang, 2019; Sun et al., 2020; Bai & Liu, 2022) demonstrate how intelligent control architectures can enhance operational efficiency while managing risks in complex environments. These principles can be adapted to fiscal systems, where reliability and risk mitigation are equally critical.

However, the transfer of technological frameworks from engineering domains to public finance is not straightforward. Fiscal systems operate within normative and legal constraints that require adherence to ethical standards and public accountability. The application of engineering-based intelligent systems in such contexts necessitates the integration of ethical considerations into technical design. Gondi (2025) emphasizes that ethical alignment in public financial systems is not optional but essential for maintaining institutional legitimacy.

Another significant challenge is the emergence of systemic risks associated with intelligent technologies. Automated systems can introduce vulnerabilities that affect entire fiscal structures, particularly when decisions are made at scale. Research on intelligent control and safety systems (Chen et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2021) highlights the importance of robust system design and continuous monitoring. In fiscal contexts, similar principles must be applied to prevent large-scale financial misallocations and ensure system stability.

The problem statement of this research centers on the lack of integrated frameworks for moral governance in intelligent fiscal systems. While technological advancements have outpaced regulatory and ethical frameworks, there is a pressing need to develop models that ensure responsible and equitable use of intelligent technologies in public finance.

The objectives of this study are threefold. First, to analyze the theoretical foundations of moral governance in intelligent systems. Second, to examine the application of these systems within state budgetary structures. Third, to develop a comprehensive governance model that integrates technical, ethical, and institutional dimensions.

The scope of the research is interdisciplinary, drawing upon literature from engineering, artificial intelligence, public administration, and financial governance. It does not focus on specific national systems but aims to provide a generalized framework applicable across different governance contexts.

The significance of this study lies in its contribution to bridging the gap between technological innovation and ethical governance. By integrating insights from multiple domains, the research provides a holistic perspective on the challenges and opportunities associated with intelligent technologies in public finance. It also offers practical recommendations for policymakers and system designers seeking to implement ethically responsible fiscal technologies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on intelligent technologies and moral governance spans multiple disciplines, including engineering systems, artificial intelligence, and public financial management. The provided references collectively offer a foundation for understanding how technical innovations can be aligned with ethical and governance frameworks.

Research on intelligent control systems in engineering contexts provides valuable insights into system design, reliability, and risk management. Guo and Kang (2019) and Sun et al. (2020) explore the development of intelligent control strategies for unmanned mining robots, emphasizing the importance of adaptive algorithms and real-time monitoring. These studies highlight how intelligent systems can operate effectively in complex and hazardous environments, offering parallels to the challenges faced in fiscal governance.

Bai and Liu (2022) and Chen et al. (2023) extend this discussion by focusing on safety management and system reliability. Their work underscores the necessity of integrating safety mechanisms into system design to prevent operational failures. In fiscal systems, similar principles can be applied to ensure the integrity and stability of financial operations.

The application of intelligent systems in auditing and financial management is explored by Qiu (2024) and Wang et al. (2024). These studies demonstrate how data-driven systems can enhance audit efficiency and improve information management. Jiang et al. (2021) further contribute by developing quality evaluation systems for investment audits, highlighting the importance of systematic assessment in financial governance.

Qu (2024) introduces the concept of structured audit systems, emphasizing the need for organized frameworks in managing audit archives. This perspective aligns with the broader theme of governance, where structured systems are essential for ensuring transparency and accountability.

Leonita et al. (2018) provide an example of machine learning applications in socio-economic contexts, specifically slum mapping. This study illustrates how intelligent systems can be used to address complex social challenges, reinforcing the importance of ethical considerations in data-driven decision-making.

The ethical dimension of intelligent systems in public finance is most prominently addressed by Gondi (2025), who emphasizes the necessity of integrating ethical principles into financial systems. The study highlights issues such as bias, transparency, and accountability, arguing that ethical governance is critical for maintaining

public trust.

Despite these contributions, the literature reveals several gaps. First, there is limited integration of engineering-based intelligent system principles with fiscal governance frameworks. Second, the ethical implications of intelligent technologies in budgetary systems remain underexplored. Third, there is a lack of comprehensive models that address both technical and moral dimensions of governance.

This study addresses these gaps by synthesizing insights from the provided literature and developing an interdisciplinary framework for moral governance in state budgetary systems.

METHODOLOGY

5.1 Theoretical Foundations of Moral Governance in Intelligent Fiscal Systems

Moral governance in intelligent technologies refers to the structured incorporation of ethical norms into algorithmic systems and institutional practices. In the context of state budgetary structures, this concept extends beyond compliance-based regulation to include proactive ethical alignment across the lifecycle of intelligent systems. The theoretical foundation of moral governance is rooted in three interrelated dimensions: normative ethics, system design theory, and institutional accountability.

Normative ethics provides the philosophical basis for evaluating fairness, justice, and equity in fiscal decision-making. Budgetary systems inherently involve distributive choices, making ethical considerations central to their operation. Intelligent technologies, when embedded within such systems, must therefore be designed to reflect these normative principles. Gondi (2025) emphasizes that ethical governance in public financial systems must prioritize equity and transparency, particularly in automated decision-making processes.

System design theory contributes to the operationalization of moral governance by integrating ethical constraints into algorithmic architectures. Insights from engineering studies on intelligent control systems (Guo & Kang, 2019; Chen et al., 2023) demonstrate how system reliability and safety can be embedded through feedback mechanisms and adaptive controls. Translating these principles into fiscal systems involves designing algorithms that can detect anomalies, correct biases, and maintain stability under dynamic conditions.

Institutional accountability forms the third pillar of moral governance. It ensures that intelligent systems operate within defined legal and ethical boundaries. Accountability mechanisms include audit trails, oversight bodies, and regulatory frameworks that enable the evaluation and correction of system behavior. Without such mechanisms, the delegation of decision-making authority to intelligent systems can lead to governance deficits and erosion of public trust.

5.2 Technical Architecture of Intelligent Budgetary Systems

The technical architecture of intelligent budgetary systems is characterized by the integration of data processing modules, predictive analytics engines, and decision-support interfaces. These components collectively enable the automation and optimization of fiscal operations.

At the core of this architecture is data infrastructure, which includes data collection, storage, and preprocessing mechanisms. High-quality data is essential for accurate predictions and fair decision-making. However, data biases can propagate through the system, leading to skewed outcomes. Leonita et al. (2018) highlight the importance of data integrity in machine learning applications, emphasizing the need for robust data

governance frameworks.

Predictive analytics engines utilize machine learning algorithms to forecast revenue trends, identify expenditure patterns, and assess financial risks. These systems enhance the ability of governments to make informed decisions. However, their reliance on historical data raises concerns about perpetuating existing inequalities. This underscores the need for bias mitigation strategies and continuous model evaluation.

Decision-support interfaces provide actionable insights to policymakers, enabling them to interpret and utilize system outputs. These interfaces must be designed to ensure transparency and usability, allowing stakeholders to understand the rationale behind algorithmic recommendations. The integration of explainability features is critical for maintaining accountability and trust.

The technical architecture must also incorporate feedback mechanisms that enable continuous learning and adaptation. Studies on intelligent control systems (Sun et al., 2020; Bai & Liu, 2022) demonstrate the effectiveness of feedback loops in maintaining system stability. In fiscal systems, such mechanisms can be used to adjust policies in response to changing economic conditions.

5.3 Intelligent Audit Systems and Financial Oversight

Intelligent audit systems represent a critical application of intelligent technologies in state budgetary structures. These systems leverage data analytics and machine learning to enhance the efficiency and accuracy of audit processes.

Qiu (2024) and Wang et al. (2024) demonstrate how intelligent audit systems can automate data analysis, detect anomalies, and improve audit efficiency. These systems enable auditors to focus on high-risk areas, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of oversight mechanisms. Jiang et al. (2021) further contribute by developing quality evaluation systems that provide structured assessments of audit performance.

The integration of intelligent audit systems into fiscal governance enhances transparency and accountability. Automated audit trails enable the tracking of financial transactions, facilitating the identification of irregularities. However, the reliance on automated systems raises concerns about the potential for errors and biases. Ensuring the reliability and fairness of audit algorithms is therefore essential.

Qu (2024) emphasizes the importance of structured audit frameworks in managing audit archives. This perspective highlights the need for organized data management systems that support audit processes. In intelligent audit systems, this involves the integration of data storage, retrieval, and analysis functionalities.

The effectiveness of intelligent audit systems depends on the alignment of technical capabilities with ethical and institutional requirements. Gondi (2025) underscores the importance of ethical oversight in audit systems, emphasizing the need for transparency and accountability in automated processes.

5.4 Risk Governance and Systemic Resilience

Risk governance is a central component of moral governance in intelligent fiscal systems. It involves the identification, assessment, and mitigation of risks associated with the deployment of intelligent technologies.

Technical risks include system failures, data breaches, and model inaccuracies. Studies on intelligent control systems (Chen et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2021) highlight the importance of safety mechanisms and redundancy in mitigating such risks. In fiscal systems, similar strategies can be employed to ensure system reliability and

continuity.

Ethical risks encompass issues such as bias, discrimination, and lack of transparency. These risks can undermine the fairness and legitimacy of fiscal systems. Gondi (2025) emphasizes the need for ethical risk assessment frameworks that evaluate the impact of intelligent systems on different population groups.

Systemic risks are particularly significant in the context of state budgetary structures. These risks arise from the interconnected nature of fiscal systems, where failures in one component can propagate across the system. The integration of intelligent technologies can amplify these risks, particularly when systems operate at scale.

To address these challenges, a comprehensive risk governance framework is required. This framework should integrate technical safeguards, ethical guidelines, and institutional oversight mechanisms. Continuous monitoring and evaluation are essential for identifying emerging risks and adapting governance strategies.

5.5 Multi-Domain Governance Model for Ethical Fiscal Intelligence

The complexity of intelligent fiscal systems necessitates a multi-domain governance model that integrates technical, ethical, and institutional dimensions. This model provides a structured approach to ensuring the responsible deployment of intelligent technologies in state budgetary systems.

The technical domain focuses on system design, data governance, and algorithmic robustness. It involves the development of reliable and transparent systems that can operate effectively in dynamic environments. Insights from engineering studies (Guo & Kang, 2019; Zhang et al., 2021) highlight the importance of adaptive control mechanisms and system optimization.

The ethical domain encompasses principles such as fairness, transparency, and accountability. It involves the integration of ethical considerations into system design and decision-making processes. Gondi (2025) emphasizes the importance of embedding ethical principles throughout the lifecycle of intelligent systems.

The institutional domain includes regulatory frameworks, organizational structures, and oversight mechanisms. It ensures that intelligent systems operate within defined legal and ethical boundaries. Effective governance requires collaboration between policymakers, technologists, and stakeholders.

The interaction between these domains creates a dynamic governance framework that can adapt to evolving technological and societal conditions. Continuous evaluation and feedback mechanisms are essential for maintaining alignment between system performance and ethical standards.

RESULTS

The analysis of intelligent technologies within state budgetary structures reveals a complex interplay between efficiency, ethical governance, and systemic risk. The findings indicate that intelligent systems significantly enhance the operational capacity of fiscal institutions by enabling data-driven decision-making, improving audit efficiency, and optimizing resource allocation. These systems demonstrate high effectiveness in processing large datasets, identifying anomalies, and generating predictive insights, thereby supporting more informed and responsive fiscal policies.

However, the findings also highlight critical ethical and governance challenges. One of the most significant issues is the persistence of algorithmic bias, which arises from the use of historical data that reflects existing socio-economic inequalities. This bias can lead to inequitable resource allocation and discriminatory

outcomes, particularly in welfare distribution and taxation systems. The presence of such biases underscores the importance of integrating ethical considerations into system design and data management processes.

Another key finding is the lack of transparency in intelligent fiscal systems. The complexity of machine learning models limits the ability of stakeholders to understand and evaluate decision-making processes. This opacity undermines accountability and creates challenges in ensuring compliance with ethical and legal standards. The integration of explainable AI techniques is therefore essential for enhancing transparency and trust.

The study also identifies significant systemic risks associated with the large-scale deployment of intelligent technologies. These risks include the potential for cascading failures, where errors in one component of the system can propagate across the entire fiscal structure. Additionally, the reliance on automated systems increases vulnerability to cyber threats and data breaches, which can compromise the integrity of financial operations.

The application of intelligent audit systems emerges as a critical factor in mitigating these risks. Automated audit processes enhance the ability to detect irregularities and ensure compliance with regulatory standards. However, the effectiveness of these systems depends on the reliability and fairness of the underlying algorithms.

A notable finding is the importance of interdisciplinary governance in addressing the challenges of intelligent fiscal systems. The integration of technical, ethical, and institutional perspectives enables a more comprehensive approach to governance. Gondi (2025) reinforces this conclusion by emphasizing the necessity of cross-sector collaboration in developing ethical frameworks for public financial systems.

Overall, the findings suggest that while intelligent technologies offer significant benefits for state budgetary systems, their responsible implementation requires robust governance frameworks that address both technical and ethical challenges.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal that the moral governance of intelligent technologies in state budgetary structures is not merely a regulatory concern but a foundational requirement for sustainable fiscal management. The integration of intelligent systems into public finance introduces a paradigm shift in decision-making processes, moving from human-centric deliberation to algorithm-driven governance. This transformation necessitates a critical examination of how ethical principles can be embedded within technical systems and institutional frameworks.

One of the central issues identified is the persistence of algorithmic bias, which reflects deeper structural inequalities embedded within historical data. This finding aligns with broader concerns in intelligent system design, where data-driven models tend to replicate existing socio-economic disparities. In fiscal systems, such biases can result in inequitable taxation policies and uneven distribution of public resources. Addressing this issue requires a combination of technical interventions, such as bias detection and correction mechanisms, and institutional reforms that ensure equitable policy design. Gondi (2025) emphasizes that ethical considerations must be integrated at every stage of system development, reinforcing the need for a holistic approach to governance.

Transparency remains another critical challenge in intelligent fiscal systems. The complexity of machine learning models often limits interpretability, creating barriers to accountability. This issue is particularly

significant in public financial systems, where decisions must be justified to multiple stakeholders. The lack of explainability not only undermines trust but also complicates regulatory oversight. The findings suggest that incorporating explainable AI techniques into system design is essential for enhancing transparency. However, this introduces trade-offs between model complexity and interpretability, requiring careful consideration in system development.

The study also highlights the importance of risk governance in managing the systemic vulnerabilities associated with intelligent technologies. The interconnected nature of fiscal systems means that failures in one component can have far-reaching consequences. Insights from engineering studies (Chen et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2021) demonstrate the importance of redundancy, feedback mechanisms, and safety protocols in ensuring system reliability. Translating these principles into fiscal governance involves the development of robust risk management frameworks that can anticipate and mitigate potential disruptions.

Another significant aspect of the discussion is the role of institutional frameworks in enabling moral governance. The effectiveness of intelligent systems is heavily dependent on the presence of regulatory mechanisms, oversight bodies, and organizational structures that enforce ethical standards. Without such frameworks, the deployment of intelligent technologies can lead to governance deficits and erosion of public trust. Gondi (2025) underscores the importance of cross-sector collaboration in developing these frameworks, highlighting the need for cooperation between policymakers, technologists, and civil society.

The interdisciplinary nature of the study provides valuable insights into how principles from engineering, artificial intelligence, and public administration can be integrated to address complex governance challenges. However, this also introduces challenges related to coordination and implementation. Differences in disciplinary perspectives can create inconsistencies in governance approaches, necessitating the development of unified frameworks that align technical capabilities with ethical and institutional requirements.

Despite its contributions, the study acknowledges certain limitations. The reliance on theoretical analysis and existing literature limits the ability to assess real-world implementation outcomes. Additionally, the rapidly evolving nature of intelligent technologies requires continuous adaptation of governance frameworks, which may outpace current regulatory capabilities.

CONCLUSION

This research has provided a comprehensive interdisciplinary analysis of the moral governance of intelligent technologies within state budgetary structures. The study demonstrates that while intelligent systems offer significant benefits in terms of efficiency, accuracy, and scalability, their integration into public financial systems introduces complex ethical, technical, and institutional challenges.

A key contribution of this study is the conceptualization of moral governance as a multi-dimensional framework that integrates normative ethics, system design, and institutional accountability. This approach highlights the necessity of embedding ethical principles into every stage of intelligent system development and deployment. The findings emphasize that technological innovation must be accompanied by robust governance mechanisms to ensure that fiscal systems remain fair, transparent, and accountable.

The research identifies several critical challenges, including algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and systemic risks. These challenges underscore the need for comprehensive governance frameworks that address both technical and ethical dimensions. The application of insights from engineering systems, particularly in relation to control mechanisms and risk management, provides valuable guidance for enhancing the reliability and resilience of fiscal technologies.

Gondi (2025) plays a central role in reinforcing the argument that ethical considerations are fundamental to the effective functioning of public financial systems. The study highlights the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in developing governance models that can adapt to evolving technological and societal conditions.

From a policy perspective, the research recommends the development of standardized ethical guidelines, investment in explainable AI technologies, and the establishment of institutional mechanisms for oversight and accountability. Continuous monitoring and evaluation are essential for ensuring that intelligent systems remain aligned with ethical principles over time.

Future research should focus on empirical studies that examine the implementation of intelligent technologies in specific fiscal contexts. Additionally, there is a need for the development of quantitative tools for assessing ethical compliance and systemic risk. As intelligent technologies continue to evolve, ongoing research and policy engagement will be crucial in ensuring their responsible and equitable integration into state budgetary systems.

REFERENCES

1. Bai X, Liu X. Study on the intelligent control system of unmanned mining robot in coal mine. Journal of Applied Sciences (Faisalabad). 2022, 22 (1): 52–58.

2. Chen H, Tang D, Pan Y, Intelligent control and safety management system design of unmanned mining robot in coal mines. Journal of the Chinese Institute of Engineers (Taipei). 2023, 46 (3): 251–259.

3. Gondi, S. (2025). AI ETHICS FOR PUBLIC FINANCIAL SYSTEMS: A CROSS-SECTOR PERSPECTIVE. International Journal of Apllied Mathematics, 38(12s), 2212–2233. https://doi.org/10.12732/ijam.v38i12s.1543

4. Guo B, Kang Y. Design and implementation of intelligent control system for unmanned mining robot in coal mine. Journal of Robotics 2019, 32 (2): 187–195.

5. Hinners N.E., Calais, “Geophysical Research Letters: Celebrating 40 Years of Excellence,” Geophysical Research Letters, 2020, 84 (4), pp. 2671–2672.

6. Jiang Zhichao, Yang Zhen, Ma Xinxin, et al. “Construction and Application of the Quality Evaluation System for Engineering Investment Audit: A Case Study of Production Technical Renovation Projects,” China Internal Audit, 2021 (9), pp. 38–42.

7. Leonita, G., Kuffer, M., Sliuzas, R., & Persello, C., “Machine Learning-Based Slum Mapping in Support of Slum Upgrading Programs: The Case of Bandung City, Indonesia,” Remote Sensing, the Special Issue Machine Learning Applications in Earth Science Big Data Analysis, 2018, 10 (10), pp. 1522.

8. Li H, Li S, Wang X. Research on intelligent control system of unmanned mining robot for coal mines. Journal of China Coal Society 2021, 46 (5): 1525–1534.

9. Qiu Yuting, “Research on the Construction of an Intelligent Engineering Audit System by Accounting Firms,” Contemporary Accounting, 2024 (9), pp. 193–195.

10. Qu Weipeng. “Research on the Construction of the ”Four Systems“for Engineering Audit Archives under the New Situation,” Lantai Inside and Outside, 2024 (27), pp. 52–54.

11. Sun Y, Qin Y, Zhou S. Research on intelligent control strategy for unmanned mining robot in underground coal mines. IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering 2020, 17 (3): 1201–1212.

12. Wang L, Wang Y, Yin H, Research on intelligent control technology of unmanned mining robot in coal mines. Journal of Mining & Safety Engineering 2021, 38 (1): 113–119.

13. Wang Wei Yan Chaoyuan, Nie Shunlin, et al. Construction of an Information Management System for Engineering Project Audit Based on Big Data Clustering,” Automation Technology and Applications, 2024, 43 (8), pp. 113–116, 135.

14. Yang J, Wang P, Zhang Y, Development and application of coal mine unmanned mining robot based on intelligent control technology. Journal of Coal Science & Engineering 2020, 26 (4): 602–609. Zhang H, Liu Y, Li L, Intelligent coordinated control for unmanned mining robots in underground coal mines. Journal of Robotics & Mechatronics 2021, 33 (1): 57–66.