

## METHODS FOR EVALUATING PLAYER PERFORMANCE EFFICIENCY IN BASKETBALL

Muhammadiyah Muslimakhon Ilhomjon kizi

Fergana State University

Student of the Faculty of Physical Culture

**Abstract:** Evaluating player performance efficiency in basketball has become increasingly sophisticated with the integration of advanced statistics and technology. This study examines traditional and modern methods used to assess basketball players' effectiveness, focusing on key performance indicators, efficiency metrics, and data-driven approaches applied in elite competitions such as the NBA. The findings highlight that combining quantitative metrics with contextual analysis provides a more comprehensive understanding of player contribution and team success.

**Keywords:** Basketball, performance evaluation, efficiency metrics, sports analytics, player tracking, KPIs.

### Introduction

Performance evaluation in basketball is a critical component of coaching, scouting, and sports analytics. Traditionally, player performance was assessed using basic statistics such as points, rebounds, and assists. However, these indicators often fail to capture the full impact of a player on the game.

With the evolution of basketball into a more analytical sport, new methods have emerged that provide deeper insights into player efficiency. These methods incorporate advanced metrics, situational analysis, and technological tools, allowing teams to make more informed decisions regarding player development and game strategy.

### Traditional Performance Evaluation Metrics

Traditional statistics remain the foundation of basketball performance evaluation. Metrics such as points scored, rebounds, assists, steals, and blocks are widely used due to their simplicity and accessibility.

However, these indicators have limitations. For example, scoring does not necessarily reflect efficiency, as a player may score many points with a low shooting percentage. Similarly, assists depend on teammates converting shots, making them context-dependent. Despite these limitations, traditional metrics are still essential for providing a general overview of player performance.

### Advanced Efficiency Metrics

Modern basketball analysis relies heavily on advanced metrics designed to measure efficiency more accurately. One of the most widely used indicators is Player Efficiency Rating (PER), which summarizes a player's statistical contributions into a single value.

Another important metric is True Shooting Percentage (TS%), which accounts for field goals, three-point shots, and free throws, providing a more accurate measure of scoring efficiency. Additionally, Effective Field Goal Percentage (eFG%) adjusts for the added value of three-point shots.

These metrics allow analysts to evaluate how effectively a player utilizes scoring opportunities, rather than simply measuring output.

### Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) provide a structured framework for evaluating player performance. These indicators include both offensive and defensive contributions, such as:

- Offensive efficiency (points per possession)



- Defensive impact (steals, blocks, defensive rating)
- Ball control (turnovers and assist-to-turnover ratio)
- Rebounding efficiency

KPIs are often tailored to specific player roles. For example, a point guard may be evaluated based on playmaking and decision-making, while a center's performance may focus on rebounding and rim protection.

### **Role of Analytics and Technology**

The introduction of player tracking systems has revolutionized performance evaluation in basketball. Technologies such as optical tracking and wearable sensors provide detailed data on player movement, speed, distance covered, and positioning.

In professional leagues like the NBA, these systems enable teams to analyze off-ball movement, defensive positioning, and spacing. This data helps identify patterns that are not visible through traditional statistics.

Video analysis tools further enhance evaluation by allowing coaches to review game situations and assess decision-making processes in real time.

### **Contextual and Situational Analysis**

A key limitation of purely statistical evaluation is the lack of context. Modern approaches emphasize situational analysis, considering factors such as game tempo, opponent strength, and match conditions.

For instance, a player's performance in high-pressure situations (clutch moments) may be more valuable than overall statistics. Similarly, defensive contributions often go unnoticed in traditional metrics but can be critical to team success.

Therefore, combining quantitative data with qualitative analysis provides a more accurate assessment of player performance.

### **Integrated Evaluation Models**

Recent research suggests that the most effective approach to evaluating basketball performance involves integrating multiple methods. Hybrid models combine traditional statistics, advanced metrics, and contextual analysis to create a comprehensive evaluation system.

These models are increasingly used in professional basketball for scouting, player development, and game strategy. By leveraging big data and machine learning, teams can predict player performance and optimize team composition.

### **Discussion**

The shift towards data-driven evaluation reflects the broader transformation of basketball into an analytical sport. While advanced metrics provide valuable insights, they should not replace traditional understanding of the game. Instead, they should complement observational analysis and expert judgment.

The challenge for coaches and analysts is to interpret data effectively and apply it in practical contexts. Over-reliance on statistics without considering game dynamics may lead to incomplete or misleading conclusions.

### **Conclusion**

Evaluating player performance efficiency in basketball requires a multidimensional approach that integrates traditional statistics, advanced metrics, and contextual analysis. Modern technologies and analytics have significantly enhanced the accuracy and depth of performance evaluation.

Ultimately, the most effective evaluation systems are those that combine quantitative data with qualitative insights, enabling teams to make informed decisions and improve overall performance.

### **References**



1. Oliver, D. (2004). *Basketball on Paper: Rules and Tools for Performance Analysis*. Washington, DC: Brassey's Inc.
2. Hollinger, J. (2005). *Pro Basketball Forecast*. Washington, DC: Brassey's Inc. (Author of Player Efficiency Rating – PER metric)
3. Kubatko, J., Oliver, D., Pelton, K., & Rosenbaum, D. T. (2007). A starting point for analyzing basketball statistics. *Journal of Quantitative Analysis in Sports*, 3(3), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.2202/1559-0410.1070>
4. Sampaio, J., McGarry, T., Calleja-González, J., Sáiz, S. J., Schelling, X., & Balciunas, M. (2015). Exploring game performance in basketball using player tracking data. *PLoS ONE*, 10(7), e0132894. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132894>
5. Gómez, M. Á., Lorenzo, A., Sampaio, J., Ibáñez, S. J., & Ortega, E. (2008). Game-related statistics that discriminated winning and losing teams. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 7(2), 182–189.
6. Csataljay, G., O'Donoghue, P., Hughes, M., & Dancs, H. (2009). Performance indicators that distinguish winning and losing teams in basketball. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 9(1), 60–66.
7. Goldsberry, K. (2012). CourtVision: New visual and spatial analytics for basketball. *MIT Sloan Sports Analytics Conference Proceedings*.
8. Skinner, B. (2010). The price of anarchy in basketball. *Journal of Quantitative Analysis in Sports*, 6(1), 1–20.
9. Wright, C., Atkins, S., Jones, B., & Todd, J. (2013). The role of performance analysts within elite team sports. *Journal of Human Sport and Exercise*, 8(2), 436–449.
10. Reina, M., García-Rubio, J., Ibáñez, S. J., & Gómez, M. Á. (2020). Analysis of game dynamics in basketball. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(21), 7812. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17217812>
11. Ibáñez, S. J., García-Rubio, J., Feu, S., Lorenzo, A., & Sampaio, J. (2018). Basketball game-related statistics during the NBA and Euroleague seasons. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 18(6), 1014–1025.
12. O'Donoghue, P. (2015). *An Introduction to Performance Analysis of Sport*. London: Routledge.
13. Hughes, M., & Franks, I. (2015). *Essentials of Performance Analysis in Sport*. London: Routledge.
14. Cervone, D., D'Amour, A., Bornn, L., & Goldsberry, K. (2016). A multiresolution stochastic process model for predicting basketball possession outcomes. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 111(514), 585–599.
15. Berri, D. J., Schmidt, M. B., & Brook, S. L. (2006). *The Wages of Wins in the NBA*. Stanford University Press.

