

DERIVATIONAL FEATURES OF SPORTS TERMS AND LEXEMES IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK: A CONTRASTIVE MORPHO-SEMANTIC ANALYSIS

Otaboyev Akhmadillo

Teacher, Andijan State Institute
of Foreign Languages

Abstract. This article provides a comprehensive contrastive-typological investigation into the derivational features governing sports terminology and lexemes in English and the Uzbek language. Positioned at the intersection of morphosyntax, cognitive linguistics, and terminological studies, the paper examines how the underlying typological architectures of an analytical Germanic language (English) and an agglutinative Turkic language (Uzbek) influence the generation, adaptation, and semantic evolution of sports nomenclature. Through structural-morphemic dissection and semantic mapping, the study illuminates the high productivity of conversion and compounding in English, contrasting it with the systematic, suffix-driven morphological expansion and recent compounding dynamics characteristic of modern Uzbek. Special attention is dedicated to the integration patterns of international Anglicisms within the Uzbek lexical matrix, exploring how structural borrowability alters native morphological constraints and creates broad polysemantic shifts. The findings uncover critical insights into the cultural, historical, and cognitive mechanisms that drive the lexical systems of both languages to expand under the pressure of globalized sports discourse.

Keywords: *Sports Terminology, Derivational Morphology, Contrastive Linguistics, Agglutination, Conversion, Affixation, Anglicisms, Lexical Semantics.*

Introduction. The rapid globalization of physical culture, fueled by digital media networks and international athletic bodies, has transformed sports discourse into one of the most dynamic and hyper-expanding macro-systems within contemporary linguistics. Sports terminology does not operate merely as an insular collection of professional labels; rather, it constitutes an open, highly fluid conceptual framework that actively interfaces with medicine, psychology, pedagogy, and socio-political systems (Rahmonova, 2023). Because sports require immediate, high-velocity communication during athletic execution and vivid, metaphorically rich narration during broadcasting, the lexical units serving this domain must satisfy strict cognitive demands of structural compression, semantic clarity, and pragmatic expressiveness. Consequently, investigating the word-formation patterns—or derivational features—of sports lexemes offers an exceptional window into the structural mechanics and generative capacities of individual language families.

Methodology. This study employs a contrastive-typological and descriptive-qualitative methodological framework designed to extract, classify, and compare the formal derivational features of sports terms in English and Uzbek. The empirical material serving as the baseline for this research comprises approximately 800 sports-related lexical units gathered from specialized athletic dictionaries, official rulebooks of international sports federations, sports journalism databases, and contemporary national corpora of both languages. The select lexemes span multiple athletic categories, including team sports (football, basketball), individual rackets and combat disciplines (tennis, boxing, native *kurash*), and extreme or technical sports (surfing, motorsport).

Derivational mechanisms in English sports terminology

The derivational landscape of English sports terminology is fundamentally defined by structural economy and operational agility. Because the language has largely shed its historic inflectional endings, its morphemic boundaries are highly permeable, allowing roots to easily



traverse grammatical boundaries without undergoing radical phonetic or structural alterations. This characteristic enables English to rapidly generate high volumes of specialized nomenclature using four primary derivational pathways: affixation, compounding, conversion, and minor compressive processes.

Affixation in English sports discourse operates through a combination of highly active prefixes and suffixes that systematically alter the lexical category or semantic orientation of base morphemes. Suffixation is overwhelmingly dominant in creating agent nouns (the participants, executioners, or instruments of sport) and abstract nominalizations (the actions, states, or systems of sport).

- **The Suffix -er:** This is arguably the most productive morphological engine in English sports linguistics. Appended to verbal roots, it yields agentive nominals denoting the performer of a specialized athletic action. Examples are structurally omnipresent: *striker*, *keeper*, *defender*, *runner*, *boxer*, *sprinter*, *pitcher*, and *surfer*. The derivative *striker* isolates the specific action of striking a ball with lethal intent and codifies it into a fixed professional identity.

- **The Suffix -ing:** Crucial for action nominalization, this suffix transforms dynamic verbs into abstract nouns that denote the ongoing process, technique, or entire discipline of a sport. Terms like *dribbling*, *training*, *bowling*, *coaching*, *passing*, and *vaulting* demonstrate how a transient physical movement is frozen into a permanent technical concept.

- **The Suffix -ship:** Used to generate abstract conceptual nouns defining states, titles, or ethical dimensions within the athletic sphere, such as *championship*, *sportsmanship*, and *leadership*.

- **Prefixation:** While prefixes in English sports terms rarely alter the grammatical category of the root, they exert precise semantic control, primarily indicating orientation, temporal sequence, or opposition. The most productive include **pre-** (*pre-season training*), **post-** (*post-match press conference*), **re-** (*rematch*, *rebound*), and **anti-** (*anti-doping regulations*).

The structural configurations of these compounds follow distinct syntactic formulas:

1. **Noun + Noun:** *Basketball*, *football*, *court-side*, *baseline*, *matchpoint*, *touchline*. Here, the secondary noun acts as a determinative modifier that restricts the broader semantic field of the primary noun.

2. **Noun + Verb/Agent:** *Goalkeeper*, *linebacker*, *shot-putter*, *cheerleader*. These compounds achieve immense structural compression by embedding the direct object of an action directly inside the agentive noun itself.

3. **Particle/Preposition + Noun/Verb:** *Offside*, *outfield*, *underdog*, *overtime*, *upfield*. These elements map spatial boundaries and structural status instantly, compressing what would otherwise require a multi-word prepositional phrase into a single, cohesive lexical token.

Conversion (Zero-Derivation)

Conversion represents a pinnacle of structural economy in English sports vocabulary, allowing a lexeme to shift from one part of speech to another with zero modification to its phonetic or morphemic surface. In a fast-paced athletic environment, conversion allows speakers to instantly verbalize concrete instruments or spatial zones, or conversely, to nominalize complex physical events.

- **Noun-to-Verb Conversion:** This track is exceptionally active. Standard nouns representing sports equipment, officials, or positions are converted directly into dynamic verbs. For instance, the noun *referee* becomes the verb *to referee* (to officiate a match); *ball* becomes *to ball* (to play basketball colloquially); *captain* becomes *to captain* (to lead a team);



glove becomes *to glove* (to catch or intercept with a glove); and *bench* becomes *to bench* (to remove a player from active play).

- **Verb-to-Noun Conversion:** This process extracts a singular instance of a physical action and converts it into a distinct scoring or tactical unit. The verb *to tackle* shifts seamlessly to *a tackle*; *to run* becomes *a run*; *to drive* becomes *a drive*; and *to serve* becomes *a serve*. Through conversion, the fluidity of physical motion is segmented into quantifiable, discrete data points essential for sports statistics and tactical analysis.

Low-productivity word-formation methods

While affixation, compounding, and conversion handle the bulk of English sports vocabulary expansion, lower-productivity mechanisms provide vital structural support, particularly in the creation of journalistic neologisms and media-friendly catchphrases (Ismoilova, 2026).

- **Clipping:** The truncation of longer words to accommodate the high-velocity demands of spoken sports commentary. This gives rise to terms like **sub** (from *substitute*), **pro** (from *professional*), **spec** (from *spectator*), and **crypto** (in modern sports sponsorship contexts).

- **Blending:** The fusion of two distinct words accompanied by the structural clipping of at least one component. A prominent example is *biathlon* or *triathlon* (blending Greek numerical roots with *athlon*, meaning contest), alongside modern informal media creations like *fanzine* (*fan* + *magazine*) or *paralympics* (*paraplegic* + *olympics*).

- **Back-Formation:** The deletion of a supposed affix from an existing noun to create a new verb, such as deriving the verb *to gatecrash* from the pre-existing noun *gatecrasher*.

Derivational features in Uzbek sports terminology

The word-formation processes governing Uzbek sports terms reflect the strict, highly structured logic of an agglutinative linguistic framework. Unlike the fluid morphemic shifts seen in English, word formation in Uzbek requires the systematic, linear appending of clearly defined, non-fusing affixes to a stable root or stem (Solidjonov, 2025). The structural expansion of modern Uzbek sports terminology relies on three primary vectors: native agglutinative affixation, syntactic-semantic compounding, and the morpho-semantic assimilation of international loanwords.

Native agglutinative affixation

In Uzbek morphology, affixes are strictly specialized; they are added to the right of the root in a predictable, cascading sequence where each morpheme conveys a single, unambiguous grammatical or semantic value (Pirimkulovna, 2021). The generation of indigenous sports terminology relies heavily on a select group of highly productive derivational suffixes.

- **The Suffixes -ish and -uv:** These nominalizing suffixes are crucial for transforming active verbal roots into abstract conceptual nouns representing the discipline, process, or rules of a sport. For example, appending -ish to the verb *yugurmoq* (to run) yields *yugurish* (running/athletics). Similarly, *sakramoq* (to jump) generates *sakrash* (jumping), and *kurashmoq* (to struggle/wrestle) yields *kurashish* (the act of competing). The suffix -uv appears in structural derivatives such as *yuguruvchi* (runner), where it functions as a participial connector before the agentive marker.

- **The Suffix -lik:** This suffix is consistently utilized to form abstract nouns denoting states, titles, or collective statuses from existing noun or adjective roots. In sports nomenclature, it yields terms like *chempionlik* (championship status/title), *sportchilik* (the profession of being an athlete), and *hakamlik* (refereeing/officiating).



5.2. Compounding and Syntactic Word Formation

As modern sports demand highly nuanced structural formulas to describe complex tactical positions and spatial setups, the Uzbek language has increasingly relied on multi-component syntactic word formation and compounding. These structures often blend native Turkic elements with historical Persian or Arabic lexical models.

- **Juxtaposed Noun Compounds:** Unlike English compounds which fuse into a single orthographic word, Uzbek frequently retains a two-word syntactic juxtaposition that functions as a single semantic term. Examples include *darvoza chizig'i* (goal line), *jarima maydonchasi* (penalty area), and *burchak to'pi* (corner kick). These terms follow a strict possessive-relational construction, where the secondary component carries the third-person possessive suffix (-i / -si) to mark its structural dependence on the preceding noun modifier.

- **Persian Derivational Composites:** Due to centuries of deep transoxian linguistic contact, Uzbek sports discourse utilizes highly productive Persian derivational suffixes such as **-boz** (one who plays/is enthusiastic about an activity) and **-bon** (guardian/keeper). These markers create terms like *dorboz* (tightrope walker—a traditional Uzbek athletic performance) and *darvozabon* (goalkeeper, from *darvoza* [gate/goal] + *-bon* [keeper]). This compounding strategy provides an elegant, highly localized alternative to modern Western borrowings.

Conclusion. The contrastive investigation into the derivational features of sports terms and lexemes in English and Uzbek reveals the profound ways linguistic typology shapes professional discourse. While both languages successfully accommodate the rapid communication demands of modern athletic systems, they do so through radically divergent structural strategies. English capitalizes on its analytical architecture, relying heavily on conversion, seamless morphemic compression, and highly productive suffixation patterns like -er and -ing to rapidly transform dynamic actions into static tactical concepts. Uzbek, operating within its agglutinative paradigm, avoids category-blurring functional shifts. Instead, it maintains structural transparency through linear, rule-governed suffix chains (exemplified by the immense productivity of -chi, -ish, and -lik) alongside relational syntactic compounds.

References

1. Ayakulov, N. A. O. (2019). Anglicisms in sport terminology of the Uzbek language and its difficulties in translation. *European Science Review*, (9-10), 54–57. <https://doi.org/10.29013/esr-19-9.10-54-57>
2. Ismoilova, D. D. (2026). The role of low-productivity word-formation methods in the development of physical education and sports terminology in English and Uzbek. *Claritas Conference Platform*, (4).
3. Mirzayevich, I. G. (n.d.). Transterminologization in the Uzbek language. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Culture (IJLLIS)*.
4. Pirimkulovna, D. N. (2021). Forms of comparative degree of adjectives in agglutinative and inflectional languages. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal (GIIRJ)*, 9(10), 753–755.
5. Rahmonova, K. (2023). Classification of sport terms in lexical-semantic and word formation levels. *Web of Synergy: International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, 2(4).
6. Solidjonov, D. (2025). Investigating linguistic errors in large language model generation of Uzbek text. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2025.2600519>

